

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| C-BYTE COMPANY, INC., et al., | |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| v. | |
| VEGAS BRAND ENTERPRISES INC., et al., | |
| Defendant(s). | |

Case No. 2:14-CV-1231 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is pro se plaintiffs C-Byte Company, Inc. and Trevor Biscope’s motion to enforce stay. (Doc. # 1). Defendants did not file a response and the deadline to do so has passed.

Also before the court is defendants Vegas Brand Enterprises, Inc., C-Byte Computer Systems, LLC, Wanda Brink, Ron Brink, National Arbitration Forum, Houston Putnam Lowry, and Debrett G. Lyons’ motion to dismiss plaintiffs’ “motion to enforce stay.” (Doc. # 8). Plaintiffs filed a response (doc. # 12) and defendants filed a reply (doc. # 8).

This matter involves a dispute between the parties as to the ownership of several internet domain names. (Doc. # 8). Appointed arbitrators have held twice that the domain names at issue belong to defendants and have ordered those domain names be transferred back to defendants. (Doc. # 8-1). Plaintiffs disagree with the arbitration decisions and appear to attempt to initiate an action in this court to overrule the prior arbitration decisions.

Plaintiffs have not filed a complaint in this action. Instead of filing a complaint, plaintiffs filed a motion to enforce stay. (Doc. # 1). The court is unable to grant plaintiffs’ motion to enforce stay, as plaintiff has failed to file a complaint initiating an action against defendants. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 3 (“A civil action is commenced by filing a complaint with the court.”). Even if the court

James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge

