1	SANDRA B. WICK MULVANY (CO SBN 31972)			
2	(Pro Hac Vice)			
	Email: sandra.wickmulvany@dentons.com DENTONS US LLP			
3	1400 Wewatta Street, Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202			
4	Telephone: (303) 634-4000 Facsimile: (303) 634-4400			
5	ALEXANDRA B. MCLEOD (SBN 8185) Email: abm@thorndal.com THORNDAL ARMSTRONG DELK			
6				
7	BALKENBUSH & EISINGER			
8	1100 E. Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 366-0622 Facsimile: (702) 366-0327			
9				
10	Attorneys for Defendant National Security Technologies, LLC			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12				
13	EAGLE ROCK CONTRACTING, LLC,	CASE NO. 2:14-CV-01278-GMN (NJK)		
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT NATIONAL SECURITY		
15		TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S UNOPPOSED		
13	V.	MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF		
16	NATIONAL SECURITY	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS		
		POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO		
16 17	NATIONAL SECURITY	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS		
16 17 18	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
16 17 18 19	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant.	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
16 17 18 19 20	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proc	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request)		
16 17 18 19 20 21	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Productional Security Technologies, LLC ("NST	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Productional Security Technologies, LLC ("NST	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Prod National Security Technologies, LLC ("NSTe an enlargement of time of ten days, up to and submit its reply in support of its Motion for S	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Prod National Security Technologies, LLC ("NST an enlargement of time of ten days, up to and submit its reply in support of its Motion for S Contracting, LLC's ("ERC"), Claims filed or	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to ummary Judgment on Plaintiff, Eagle Rock		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Prod National Security Technologies, LLC ("NST an enlargement of time of ten days, up to and submit its reply in support of its Motion for S Contracting, LLC's ("ERC"), Claims filed or	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to ummary Judgment on Plaintiff, Eagle Rock January 11, 2016 at ECF No. 81, and its reply in on NSTec's Counterclaims filed on January 11,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Prod National Security Technologies, LLC ("NST) an enlargement of time of ten days, up to and submit its reply in support of its Motion for S Contracting, LLC's ("ERC"), Claims filed or support of its Motion for Summary Judgment 2016 at ECF No. 82 (collectively, the "Replie	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to ummary Judgment on Plaintiff, Eagle Rock January 11, 2016 at ECF No. 81, and its reply in on NSTec's Counterclaims filed on January 11,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Defendant. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Productional Security Technologies, LLC ("NST an enlargement of time of ten days, up to and submit its reply in support of its Motion for SC Contracting, LLC's ("ERC"), Claims filed or support of its Motion for Summary Judgment 2016 at ECF No. 82 (collectively, the "Replied Counsel for NSTec conferred with co	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) cedure 6(b), and Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Defendant, ec"), respectfully files its unopposed motion seeking including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to ummary Judgment on Plaintiff, Eagle Rock January 11, 2016 at ECF No. 81, and its reply in on NSTec's Counterclaims filed on January 11, es").		

requested extension.

NSTec filed both the Motion for Summary Judgment on ERC's Claims and the Motion for Summary Judgment on NSTec's Counterclaims (collectively, the "Motions for Summary Judgment") on January 11, 2016. *See* ECF No. 81 and 82, respectively. Yesterday, on February 4, 2016, ERC filed its Oppositions to the Motions for Summary Judgment. *See* ECF No. 87 and 88. Accordingly, NSTec's Replies for the Motions for Summary Judgment are currently due on February 22, 2016. *See* LR 7-2(e); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1) and (d).

An unexpired deadline may be extended upon a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). Here, good cause exists for NSTec's requested ten-day extension of time to file its Replies. Specifically, NSTec's in-house counsel, who has been working on this case since its inception, will be traveling out of the country and unavailable beginning this coming weekend and is not scheduled to return to the United States until February 24, 2016, which is after the February 22, 2016 deadline for NSTec to file its Replies. NSTec therefore seeks additional time to allow for its in-house counsel to provide input on the Replies before they are filed with the Court. NSTec is seeking an extension now, well before the deadline for filing its Replies, based on these circumstances. *See id.*

NSTec has neither requested nor been granted any other enlargement of time to file its Replies. Further, if granted, the requested enlargement of time for the Replies would not prejudice any party, delay any scheduled deadline in this case, or otherwise cause any undue hardship to the parties in this matter. No trial date has been set and there are no other pending deadlines in this case that would be impacted by the granting of NSTec's requested extension.

WHEREFORE, NSTec respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order granting it an enlargement of time, up to and including Thursday, March 3, 2016, in which to file each of its Replies, specifically its Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment on ERC's Claims (ECF No. 81), and its Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment on NSTec's Counterclaims (ECF No. 82).

1	Dated: February 5, 2016	Respectfully submitted,
2		DENTONS US LLP
3		By: /s/Sandra B. Wick Mulvany Sandra B. Wick Mulvany
4		Counsel for Defendant,
5		National Security Technologies, LLC
6		
7		
8		IT IS SO ORDERED:
9		
11		United States District Judge
12		Dated: February <u>17</u> , 2016.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that, on this 5th day of February, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S UNOPPOSED MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF ITS TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (First Request) was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following individual at the following electronic mail address: Timothy P. Thomas at tthomas@tthomaslaw.com. Executed on February 5, 2016, in Denver, Colorado. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Colorado that the above is true and correct. /s/Sandra B. Wick Mulvany DN 32298753.1