| Olvera v. Sh | afer et al | D |
|--------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 9 | GUADALUPE OLVERA, et al., |) |
| 10 | Plaintiff(s), |) Case No. 2:14-cv-01298-GMN-NJK |
| 11 | VS. |) ORDER |
| 12 | JARED E. SHAFER, et al., |)) (Docket No. 178) |
| 13 | Defendant(s). | |
| 14 | |) |
| 15 | Pending before the Court is a renewed motion to reopen discovery filed by Defendants Jared E. | |
| 16 | Shafer, Professional Fiduciary Services of Nevada, Inc., and Amy Viggiano Deittrick. Docket No. 178. | |
| 17 | Plaintiffs filed a joinder, as did Defendants Wells Fargo and Susan Bull. Docket No. 179, 184. The | |
| 18 | pending motion does little to assuage the concerns expressed by the Court previously that the movants | |
| 19 | have not shown diligence. See Docket No. 174 at 2 (noting that movant's first requested to reopen | |
| 20 | discovery more than four months after appearing in the case). Nonetheless, given the unopposed nature | |
| 21 | of the request and as a <u>one-time courtesy</u> to the parties, the Court will GRANT the motion in part and | |
| 22 | reopen discovery for a period of 90 days. The discovery cutoff is set for July 17, 2017. The parties must | |
| 23 | ensure that they use this extended period wisely, as the Court is not inclined to permit any further | |
| 24 | extensions in this nearly three-year-old case. | |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 26 | DATED: April 17, 2017 | |
| 27 | | NCY J. KOPPE |
| 28 | Un | ited States Magistrate Judge |

Doc. 188