

The court further ordered appellant to file documentation with this court demonstrating compliance and addressing the status of the record on appeal, by the same date. The court noted that failure to comply with the order would result in dismissal of the instant appeal with prejudice. (Doc. # 3).

Appellant did not respond to the court's order. Accordingly, on April 28, 2015, the court dismissed the appeal with prejudice. (Doc. # 4). On May 1, 2015, appellant filed the instant motion.

8 **II.** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

## I. Legal Standard

9 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure apply in bankruptcy appeals to the extent provided
10 by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(2). Federal Rule of Civil
11 Procedure 60 applies to motions for relief from a judgment or order in bankruptcy cases. Fed. R.
12 Bankr. P. 9024.<sup>1</sup>

Under Rule 60(b), a court may relieve a party from a final judgment, order or proceeding
in the following circumstances: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly
discovered evidence; (3) fraud; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied; or
(6) any other reason justifying relief from the judgment. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

17 **III. Discussion** 

In its motion, appellant argues that the court should set aside its order and allow appellant
to proceed with the instant bankruptcy appeal. Appellant contends that confusion regarding
multiple appeals arising out of this bankruptcy case and another related case caused a delay in
transmission of the record. (Doc. # 7). Appellant appears to be claiming excusable neglect.

Appellant represents that it diligently and repeatedly inquired with the court reporter in the
bankruptcy case, Cline Transcription Services ("Cline"), regarding the status of the transcript.
However, appellant notes that on the date of filing of the instant motion, it learned that Cline's

25 26

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In support of its motion, appellant cites Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(b). The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure govern proceedings in the United States courts of appeals, and are not applicable to cases before this court. See Fed. R. App. P. 1(a)(1). The court construes appellant's motion as one for relief from judgment. Accordingly, the court will apply the legal standard cited above in reviewing the instant motion.

principal recently passed away. Appellant asserts that this has caused difficulty in accessing Cline's records and transcripts. (Doc. # 7).

Appellant states that it failed to comply with the court's April 14, 2015, order because it did not receive it. Appellant alleges that its counsel was not notified of the order through the court's CM/ECF system, despite proper registration with that system. Appellant asserts that while the order was also mailed to appellant's counsel, counsel again did not receive it because counsel had failed to update its address with the district court. (Doc. # 7).

Appellant argues that if it had known about the court's order, it would have promptly responded with the requested information. Appellant notes that it filed its designation of record and statement of the issues on appeal with the bankruptcy court on September 9, 2014. Appellant further states that it filed the instant motion immediately after learning of the court's order. (Doc. # 7).

Appellee opposes appellant's request, arguing that it "has been prejudiced by the delayed time and additional expenses caused by [appellant's] failure to timely prosecute this Appeal." Appellee contends that appellant designated two hearing transcripts, from October and July, for its appeal but failed to timely file the July transcript with the bankruptcy court. (Doc. # 8).

Appellee states that on October 28, 2014, the bankruptcy court filed a status report indicating that the record on appeal had not been forwarded to the district court because the bankruptcy court had not received the July transcript. Appellee notes that appellant failed to respond to the bankruptcy court's status report. Appellant did not file the July transcript with the bankruptcy court until May 4, 2015, after the court's dismissal of this appeal. (Doc. # 8).

Having considered the parties' arguments, the court will deny appellant's motion to set aside dismissal of the instant appeal. The court ordered appellant to file the relevant transcripts with the bankruptcy court ten months ago. (Doc. # 2). Two months later, the bankruptcy court indicated that it had not received the July transcript. Appellant fails to provide evidence that it filed anything with this court or the bankruptcy court notifying anyone of its difficulty obtaining the transcript.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 3 -

Appellant did not file the July transcript with the bankruptcy court, and did not indicate that it was having any difficulty obtaining the transcript, until this court had dismissed the instant appeal. Appellant had ample opportunity to seek an extension of time or file a notice with the court before the case was dismissed. The court will not excuse appellant's procedural missteps and failure to timely prosecute its appeal.

6 Further, appellant's counsel failed to file a notice of change of address as required by the 7 local rules. See D. Nev. R. 5005(d). The court finds that dismissal was appropriate in light of 8 appellant's failure to comply with the court's orders and the procedural rules applicable to this 9 case. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding that district court did not err 10 in dismissing complaint for failure to comply with local rule); D. Nev. R. 8070 (allowing dismissal 11 of an appeal for nonprosecution, by the court on its own motion after notice to the parties, when 12 an appellant fails to comply with applicable rules or timely file the excerpts and designation of 13 record).

IV. Conclusion 14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Accordingly,

16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that appellant 17 Commonwealth Title Land Insurance Company's motion to set aside dismissal of appeal, (doc. # 18 7), be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

DATED June 24, 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE