28

## 1 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC Robert Hernquist (Nevada Bar No. 10616) 2 Wells Fargo Tower, Suite 1000 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5980 Telephone: (702) 257-1483 | Facsimile: (702) 567-1568 Email: RHernquist@HowardandHoward.com 4 Patrick M. McCarthy (Michigan Bar No. P49100) (admitted pro hac vice) 5 2950 S. State Street, Suite 360 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104-1475 6 Telephone: (734) 222-1483 | Fax: (734) 761-5957 Email: PMcCarthy@HowardandHoward.com 7 Kristopher K. Hulliberger (Michigan Bar No. P66903) (admitted pro hac vice) 8 Christopher J. Worrel (Michigan Bar No. P75441) (admitted pro hac vice) 450 West Fourth Street 9 Roval Oak, Michigan 48067-2557 Telephone: (248) 723-0453 | Fax: (248) 645-1568 Email: KHulliberger@HowardandHoward.com; CWorrel@HowardandHoward.com 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Konami Gaming, Inc. 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** KONAMI GAMING, INC., a Nevada Case No.: 2:14-CV-01483-RFB-NJK 13 corporation, Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant 14 JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND THE JOINT STIPULATED 15 v. **DISCOVERY PLAN AND** 16 SCHEDULING ORDER AND TO HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC, a Delaware limited **EXTEND TIME** liability company, 17 Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff (Third Request) 18 19 20 Pursuant to Local Rules LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiff Konami Gaming, Inc. ("Konami" 21 or "Plaintiff") and Defendant High 5 Games, LLC ("High 5" or "Defendant") hereby stipulate for 22 the extension of time (1) to file Konami's Reply Claim Construction Brief, presently scheduled 23 for October 28, 2016; (2) to file Konami's Response to High 5's Motion for Summary Judgment, 24 presently scheduled for November 14, 2016; and (3) to file High 5's Reply in support of their 25 Motion for Summary Judgment, presently anticipated for November 21, 2016. 26 WHEREAS, the parties to this action filed a proposed Joint Discovery Plan and 27 Scheduling Order ("Scheduling Order") on March 13, 2015 (Docket No. 25);

Dockets.Justia.com

1	WHEREAS, the Court denied the proposed Scheduling Order on March 16, 2015 (Docket	
2	No. 26);	
3	WHEREAS, the parties filed a revised proposed Scheduling Order on March 30, 2015	
4	(Docket No. 29);	
5	WHEREAS, the Court so ordered the Scheduling Order on April 2, 2015 (Docket No. 32);	
6	WHEREAS, the parties stipulated to stay discovery and Claim Construction Deadlines on	
7	September 14, 2015;	
8	WHEREAS, the Court so ordered the stay on September 18, 2015 (Docket No. 43);	
9	WHEREAS, the parties filed a further amended proposed Scheduling Order on September	
10	22, 2015 (Docket No. 45);	
11	<b>WHEREAS</b> , the Court so order the Scheduling Order on September 23, 2015 (Docket No.	
12	46);	
13	WHEREAS, the parties stipulated for the extension of time for discovery deadlines on	
14	November 11, 2015 (Docket No. 51);	
15	<b>WHEREAS</b> , the Court so order the Scheduling Order on November 12, 2015 (Docket No.	
16	52);	
17	WHEREAS, the parties have completed disclosure of infringement and non-infringement	
18	contentions and are currently engaging in ongoing fact discovery;	
19	WHEREAS, the claim construction process is on-going and the parties have not yet	
20	completed expert discovery;	
21	WHEREAS, counsel for the Konami has out-of-state court hearings during the week of	
22	October 31 <sup>st</sup> that requires extensive preparation this week and counsel has a trial out-of-state during	
23	the week of November 15, all of which impacts the ability to timely complete the briefing;	
24	WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have met and conferred, recognizing the complexity	
25	of the claim construction and summary judgment issues outstanding before the Court;	
26	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned	
27	counsel for the named parties hereto, that the Scheduling Order and the motion response deadlines	
28	shall be amended as follows:	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Event	Proposed Date		
Konami's Reply Claim Construction Brief (LR 1-16)	November 11, 2016		
Konami's Response to High 5's Motion for Summary Judgement (Docket No. 92)	December 2, 2016		
High 5's Reply in support of High 5's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 92)	December 16, 2016		
IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that nothing herein alters the			
obligations and requirements in the Scheduling Order and that this Stipulation is made in good			
faith and not for the purpose of delay			

faith and not for the purpose of delay.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD,

Dated: October 25, 2016 Dated: October 25, 2016 By: /s/Robert Hernquist By: /s/Robert C. Ryan **HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS HOLLAND & HART LLP** Robert Hernquist Robert C. Ryan, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10616 Nevada Bar No. 7164 Patrick M. McCarthy Ryan A. Loosvelt, Esq. Michigan Bar P49100, admitted pro hac vice Nevada Bar No. 8550 Kristopher K. Hulliberger Christopher B. Hadley, Esq. Michigan Bar P66903, admitted pro hac vice (admitted pro hac vice) Christopher J. Worrel Teague I. Donahey Michigan Bar P75441, admitted pro hac vice (admitted pro hac vice)

Attorneys for Plaintiff Konami Gaming

**ORDER** 

## PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 11/7/16

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Judge

Attorney's for Defendant High 5 Games