

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JOINTLY SUBMITTED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

William Bridge, *on behalf of himself and all* :
others similarly situated, :

Plaintiff,

vs.

Credit One Financial, a Nevada Corporation :
d/b/a Credit One Bank, N.A., :

Defendant.

: Case No.: 2:14-cv-01512-LDG-NJK

: **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~**
: **ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULING**
: **ORDER**

: **(Fourth Request)**

The parties to the above-captioned action respectfully submit the following joint Stipulation and Proposed Order for the Court’s consideration and approval:

WHEREAS, on December 30, 2014, the Court entered the Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 24) (“Scheduling Order”) establishing, among other things, a deadline for completion of discovery in the class certification phase of litigation;

WHEREAS, on February 24, 2015, the Court entered an Order Amending Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 47) (“Amended Scheduling Order”) establishing, among other things, a deadline of June 22, 2015 for opening Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class certification phase of litigation, a deadline of July 24, 2015 for rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class certification phase of litigation, a deadline of July 24, 2015 for completion of discovery in the class certification phase of litigation, and a deadline of August 21, 2015 for filing of any class certification motion;

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2015, the Court entered an Order Extending Time to Provide Class Certification Expert Disclosures (Dkt. No. 84), continuing to July 13, 2015 the deadline for opening Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures, and to August 14, 2015 the deadline for rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class certification phase of litigation;

1 WHEREAS, on June 23, 2015, the Court entered an Order Extending Time To Provide
2 Class Certification Expert Disclosures (Dkt. No. 91), continuing to August 24, 2015 the deadline
3 for completion of discovery in the class certification phase of litigation, to August 3, 2015 the
4 deadline for opening Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class certification phase of
5 litigation, to September 4, 2015 the deadline for rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining
6 to the class certification phase of litigation, and to September 21, 2015 the deadline for filing any
7 class certification motion;

8 WHEREAS, on July 15, 2015, the Court entered an Order Granting Motion to Extend Time
9 to Provide Class Certification Expert Disclosures (Dkt. No. 103), continuing to August 24, 2015 the
10 deadline for opening Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class certification phase of
11 litigation, to September 25, 2015 the deadline for rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures
12 pertaining to the class certification phase of litigation, and to October 12, 2015 the deadline for
13 filing any class certification motion;

14 WHEREAS, to date, the parties have engaged in the following discovery: (a) the parties
15 have served Initial Disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) and Defendant has served seven
16 supplemental Initial Disclosures; (b) Plaintiff has served Responses and Objections to Defendant's
17 First Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories; (c)
18 Plaintiff has produced approximately 250 pages of material in response to Defendant's First Set of
19 Requests for Production of Documents; (d) Defendant has served Responses and Objections to
20 Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents; (e) Defendant has served Responses
21 and Objections to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents; (f) Defendant
22 has served Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories; (g) Defendant has
23 served Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission; (h) Defendant
24 has produced approximately 1100 pages of material in response to Plaintiff's First and Second Sets
25 of Requests for Production of Documents; (i) Plaintiff has served upon Defendant a Third Set of
26 Requests for Production of Documents; (j) Plaintiff has deposed Defendant's Fed. R. Civ. P.
27 30(b)(6) designee on March 23, 2015, as well as an employee noticed individually on May 20,
28 2015, and a second individually-noticed employee on June 30, 2015; (k) Defendant has deposed

1 Plaintiff on July 1, 2015; (l) Plaintiff served a Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 subpoena on non-party NCO
2 Financial Systems, Inc. (“NCOFS”) on March 12, 2015, in response to which Defendant moved to
3 quash in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (*Bridge v. Credit One Financial*, C.A. No. 15-mc-
4 125)) on April 28, 2015, and which was resolved by that Court on May 19, 2015; (m) Plaintiff and
5 non-party NCOFS are finalizing negotiations concerning the terms of NCOFS’s production of
6 documents in response to the subpoena; (n) Defendant served Notices of Subpoena for Documents
7 and Deposition Testimony to the Custodian of Records for Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless
8 on February 5, 2015, March 3, 2015, and May 7, 2015; and (o) on July 9, 2015, Plaintiff served
9 subpoenas on seven outbound calling vendors with which Defendant has contracted, several of
10 which have interposed objections;

11 WHEREAS, the discovery that remains to be completed during class discovery includes:
12 document production or objections by Defendant in response to Plaintiff’s Third Sets of Requests
13 for Production of Documents; deposition testimony of potential additional employees of Defendant;
14 potential supplemental responses to Plaintiff’s First Set of Interrogatories; document production by
15 Defendant pursuant to the parties’ agreement resolving their disputes concerning Requests 1(A)-(N)
16 and 2 of Plaintiff’s First Set of Requests for Production of Documents; and document production or
17 objections by non-parties subpoenaed by either Plaintiff or Defendant;

18 WHEREAS, as of July 24, 2015, the parties have, as indicated in the preceding paragraph,
19 successfully resolved in principle their disputes concerning Requests 1(A)-(N) and 2 of Plaintiff’s
20 First Set of Requests for Production of Documents;

21 WHEREAS, Defendant has not yet provided a firm date by which it anticipates it can
22 commence production of the documents it agreed to produce in order to resolve the parties’ disputes
23 concerning Requests 1(A)-(N) and 2 of Plaintiff’s First Set of Requests for Production of
24 Documents, nor a firm date by which it anticipates it can complete production of such documents,
25 and continues to investigate the amount of time needed to produce such documents;

26 WHEREAS, Plaintiff raised deficiencies in Defendant’s Responses and Objections to
27 Plaintiff’s First Set of Interrogatories concerning Interrogatories 1-4, and has requested a meet and
28 confer call relating thereto;

1 WHEREAS, although the parties will cooperate in good faith to resolve said deficiencies,
2 motion practice may become inevitable concerning Defendant's Responses and Objections to
3 Plaintiff's Interrogatories 1-4;

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiff believes the documents to be produced by Defendant pursuant to the
5 parties' agreement resolving the disputes concerning Request Nos. 1(A)-(N) and 2 of Plaintiff's
6 First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, and the information Plaintiff seeks in response
7 to Plaintiff's Interrogatories 1-4, are important to Plaintiff's initial Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures
8 and his motion for class certification;

9 WHEREAS, the parties agree that any continuance of the deadline for initial Rule 26(a)(2)
10 expert disclosures should be accompanied by a similar continuance of the deadline for rebuttal Rule
11 26(a)(2) expert disclosures;

12 WHEREAS, the parties agree that any continuance of the deadline for completion of class
13 certification discovery should be accompanied by a similar continuance of the deadline for filing
14 any class certification motion;

15 WHEREAS, pursuant to section I.3 of the Scheduling Order, the parties filed, on February
16 19, 2015, a Joint Interim Status Report advising the Court of the parties' agreement concerning
17 proposed modifications to the Scheduling Order;

18 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, by their respective undersigned counsel, and subject to
19 this Court's approval, agree and stipulate as follows:

20 1. The deadline for completion of class certification discovery shall be continued to
21 October 19, 2015;

22 2. The deadline for initial Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class
23 certification phase of litigation shall be continued to September 21, 2015;

24 3. The deadline for rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosures pertaining to the class
25 certification phase of litigation shall be continued to October 19, 2015;

26 4. The deadline for filing any class certification motion shall be continued to November
27 16, 2015.

28 DATED: August 3, 2015

1 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

2 SHOOK & STONE, CHTD.

GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.

3 /s/ Leonard H. Stone

/s/ Adam J. Levitt

4 LEONARD H. STONE (NV Bar No. 5791)

ADAM J. LEVITT (admitted pro hac vice)

5 MICHAEL P. O'ROURKE (NV Bar No. 6764)

KYLE J. MCGEE (admitted pro hac vice)

6 7109 S. Fourth Street

30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2350

7 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Chicago, IL 60602

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 HOLLAND & HART LLP

10 /s/ Brian G. Anderson

PATRICK J. REILLY (NV Bar No. 6103)

11 BRIAN G. ANDERSON (NV Bar No. 10500)

12 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor

13 Las Vegas, NV 89134

14 *Attorneys for Defendant*

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

16 

NANCY J. KOPPE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DATED: _ August 4, 2015

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28