1	Sheri M. Thome, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 008657 L. Joe Coppedge, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 004954 Chad C. Butterfield, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 010532		
3			
4	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401		
6	Sheri.Thome@wilsonelser.com L.Joe.Coppedge@wilsonelser.com		
7	Chad.Butterfield@wilsonelser.com Attorneys for Defendant Nevada Title Company		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	PEDERAL DEPOSIT DISTIRANCE		
11	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION AS RECEIVER OF	CASE NO: 2:14-cv-01567-GMN-GWF	
13	WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK. Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [Proposed] ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES,	
14	V.	PURSUANT TO LR 26-4	
15		(First Request for Extension)	
15 16	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation,	(First Request for Extension)	
	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada	(First Request for Extension)	
16	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants.	(First Request for Extension) ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank	
16 17	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants.	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank	
16 17 18	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by	
16 17 18 19	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines.	
16 17 18 19 20	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause	
16 17 18 19 20 21	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the This request complies with Local Rules ("LR") 6-1,	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the This request complies with Local Rules ("LR") 6-1, because the litigation of this matter will be best served. A. INTRODUCTION	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the This request complies with Local Rules ("LR") 6-1, because the litigation of this matter will be best served. A. INTRODUCTION	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause ed by the proposed extension.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the This request complies with Local Rules ("LR") 6-1, because the litigation of this matter will be best served. A. INTRODUCTION This case arises out of Nevada Title's closing	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause ed by the proposed extension. g of a real estate transaction that was funded, in The real estate transaction was subsequently	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Defendants. Plaintiff, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Defendant, Nevada Title Company (and through their respective counsel, hereby file the This request complies with Local Rules ("LR") 6-1, because the litigation of this matter will be best served. A. INTRODUCTION This case arises out of Nevada Title's closing part, by a loan issued by Washington Mutual Bank	ation as Receiver of Washington Mutual Bank "Nevada Title") (collectively the "Parties"), by his Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines. 6-2, 7-1, and 26-4, and is based on good cause ed by the proposed extension. g of a real estate transaction that was funded, in The real estate transaction was subsequently the seller, buyer, and others involved in the	

783*5*79v.1

1 Closing Instructions, which allegedly caused it to sustain damages when the buyer defaulted on the 2 loan. В. 3 **CURRENT SCHEDULE** 4 The Complaint in this matter was filed on September 24, 2014, and was served upon Nevada 5 Title on December 19, 2014. Nevada Title filed its Answer to the Complaint on January 15, 2015. 6 On March 10, 2015, the Parties filed a Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, which the Court 7 approved on March 11, 2015, setting forth the following relevant deadlines: 8 1. Discovery Cut-Off: July 14, 2015 9 2. Initial Expert Disclosures: May 15, 2015 3. 10 Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: June 15, 2015 11 4. Dispositive Motions: August 13, 2015 12 5. Interim Status Report: May 15, 2015 13 6. Joint Pretrial Order: September 11, 2015 C. 14 PROPOSED SCHEDULE The parties propose extending the above-referenced deadlines by sixty (60) days, as follows: 15 16 1. Discovery Cut-Off: September 12, 2015 17 2. Initial Expert Disclosures: July 14, 2015 18 3. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: August 14, 2015 19 4. Dispositive Motions: October 12, 2015 20 5. Interim Status Report: July 14, 2015 21 6. Joint Pretrial Order: November 10, 2015 22 D. DISCOVERY COMPLETED BY THE PARTIES 23 Both parties have served their initial disclosures. 24 On March 10, 2015, FDIC served its First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Admission, 25 and Requests for Production upon Nevada Title. 26 On March 24, 2015, Nevada Title served its First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for 27 Admission, and Requests for Production upon FDIC. 28

Page 2 of 4

783579v.1

E. 1 DISCOVERY REMAINING TO BE COMPLETED 2 Nevada Title's responses to FDIC's First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Admission, and 3 Requests for Production (currently due April 27, 2015); 4 FDIC's responses to Nevada Title's First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Admission, and Requests for Production (currently due May 11, 2015); 5 6 Deposition of percipient witness Rebecca Raymond Soto: 7 Deposition of percipient witness Doug Chalmers; 8 Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) witness(es) for FDIC; 9 Deposition of Rule 30(b)(6) witness(es) for Nevada Title: 10 Expert disclosures; and 11 Depositions of the Parties' expert witnesses. 12 F. STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE SUPPORTING THIS REQUEST 13 Good cause exists for extending the expert disclosures and discovery cut-off. The parties have diligently commenced discovery, with both parties having served written discovery requests 14 upon each other. FDIC has had some difficulty in locating documents responsive to Nevada Title's 15 written discovery requests, in part, because Washington Mutual is a failed bank that has not been 16 operational since September 2008. The Parties' experts would benefit from having access to the 17 18 Parties' written discovery responses in order to form their opinions. Additionally, FDIC has 19 encountered difficulties in serving deposition subpoenas upon percipient witnesses Rebecca 20 Raymond Soto and Doug Chalmers. Accordingly, the Parties respectfully submit that good cause 21 exists for the requested sixty (60) day extension to the discovery deadlines set forth above. 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 /// 26 111 27 111 28 111

Page 3 of 4

783579v.1

1	DATED this 21 day of April, 2015	DATED this 215 day of April, 2015
2	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN	KOLESAR & LEATHAM
3	& DICKER LLP	
4		
5	BY: /s/Sheri M. Thome Sheri M. Thome, Esq.	BY: /s/
6	Nevada Bar No. 008657 L. Joe Coppedge, Esq.	Randolph L. Howard, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 006688
7	Nevada Bar No. 004954 Chad C. Butterfield, Esq.	Shlomo S. Sherman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 009688 400 South Rampart Blvd., Suite 400
8	Nevada Bar No. 010532 300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff F.D.I.C. as Receiver
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendant Nevada Title Company	for Washington Mutual Bank
10	Timornoys you Doyerman The radia Time Company	
11	ORDER	
12	GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED	
13	Dated this 23rd day of April, 2015	
14	Dated this day of April, 2015	
15		Heorge Holey J. D. STATES MACOSTRATE JUDGE
16	UNITE	D STATES MACOSTRATE JUDGE
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
- '		

28