WARGOIIS AURBACH  1	DETECTIVE CHRISTOPHER T. TUČKER, as an individual and in his official capacity as a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Detective; SERGEANT MIKE WALLACE, as an individual and in his official capacity as a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Sergeant; and LIEUTENANT JOHN LIBERTY, as an individual and in his official capacity as a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Lieutenant,  Defendants.  I. NATURE OF THE ACTION  A. BACKGROUND  This is a 42 U.S.C. §1983 First Amendment retaliatory arrest lawsuit. The only remaining claim for trial is whether LVMPD Detective Christopher Tucker ("Detective Tucker") violated the Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights when he obtained arrest warrants for
	remaining claim for trial is whether LVMPD Detective Christopher Tucker ("Detective
	Tucker") violated the Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights when he obtained arrest warrants for
26	the Plaintiffs' arrests for chalking anti-police messages on the sidewalk. An issue of fact
27	remains as to whether Detective Tucker obtained the arrest warrants in retaliation for the
28	
20	Page 1 of 18  MAC:05166-824 CHALKERS - 2022.08.05 Joint Pretrial Order PREFINAL 8/5/2022 3:26 PM

Ballentine et al v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al Case 2:14-cv-01584-APG-EJY Document 269 Filed 08/08/22 Page 1 of 17

Doc. 269

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

702) 17 Plaintiffs involvement in anti-police groups and for chalking anti-police messages. See Ballentine v. Tucker, 28 F. 4<sup>th</sup> 54 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2022).

### В. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiffs filed their original complaint on September 26, 2014. (ECF No. 1) On November 4, 2014, Defendants moved for dismissal of a number of plaintiffs' claims and for qualified immunity. In its order on defendants' motion to dismiss, this court found probable cause existed for the plaintiffs' citations and arrests and/or qualified immunity protected the officers because a reasonable officer could believe using chalk on a public sidewalk constituted defacement under NRS 206.330. (ECF No. 36 at 9-11.) The first order dismissed a number of causes of action including plaintiffs' Fourth Amendment claim (fifth cause of action), false imprisonment claim (eleventh cause of action), unlawful detention claim (seventh cause of action), substantive and procedural due process claims (sixth and eighth causes of action) and negligence claims (tenth cause of action). (Id. at 16-17) Further, the court dismissed the official capacity claims against the defendants. (*Id.*)

On December 9, 2016, after the close of discovery, the defendants moved for summary judgment on all remaining claims and again raised the affirmative defense of qualified immunity. On August 21, 2017, this court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 207) Specifically, this court entered summary judgment in favor of defendants Wallace, Liberty and LVMPD finding there was no evidence to support any of the alleged claims against those defendants. (Id. at 8-10.) However, this court denied summary judgment to defendant Detective Tucker on plaintiffs' First Amendment retaliation/chilling claim finding that the case of Ford v. City of Yakima, 706 F.3d 1188 (9th Cir. 2013), clearly established that it is unconstitutional to arrest an individual for retaliatory motive, even if probable cause exists.

Detective Tucker appealed to the Ninth Circuit. After the appeal was fully briefed, the Supreme Court issued its decision in *Nieves v. Bartlett*, 587 U.S. , 139 S.Ct. 1715 (2019). The Ninth Circuit vacated and remanded the appeal back to this Court in light of *Nieves*. After another round of briefing, this Court, on August 20, 2020, held that Detective Tucker was

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

entitled to qualified immunity because the law governing First Amendment retaliation claims was not clearly established. (ECF No. 237.)

Plaintiffs then appealed the Court's second summary judgment order (ECF No. 237) to the Ninth Circuit. After briefing and oral argument, the Ninth Circuit, in a published opinion, affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded. See Ballentine v. Tucker, 28 F. 4<sup>th</sup> 54 (9th Cir. 2022). The Ninth Circuit held that a reasonable factfinder could conclude that Detective Tucker violated the Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights and that it was "clearly established" that an arrest supported by probable cause, but made in retaliation for protected speech violates the First Amendment.

### C. **REMAINING ISSUES FOR TRIAL**

### 1. Remaining claims/issues for trial

The only remaining claim/issue for trial is whether the Plaintiffs' First Amendment rights were violated when Detective Tucker arrested the Plaintiffs in retaliation for their chalked messages and/or their involvement in anti-police organizations.

### 2. Dismissed claims and parties

- 1. Plaintiffs' Fourth Amendment claim has been dismissed. (ECF No. 36.)
- 2. Plaintiffs' false imprisonment claim has been dismissed. (*Id.*)
- 3. Plaintiffs' unlawful detention claim has been dismissed. (*Id.*)
- 4. Plaintiffs' substantive and procedural due process claim has been dismissed. (*Id*.)
  - 5. Defendant Wallace has been dismissed from the lawsuit. (ECF No. 207.)
  - Defendant Liberty has been dismissed from the lawsuit. (*Id.*) 6.
  - 7. Plaintiff's *Monell* claim against LVMPD has been dismissed. (*Id.*)
  - 8. Defendant LVMPD has been dismissed from the lawsuit. (*Id.*)
- 9. Plaintiffs' negligent hiring, training and supervision claim has been dismissed (Id.)

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

702) 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10.	Plaintiffs' Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress and Negligent Infliction
of Emotional	Distress claims have been dismissed. (Id.)

11. Plaintiff Gail Sacco is no longer a Plaintiff due to death. (ECF No. 228.)

### II. **STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION**

This Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit because Plaintiffs' remaining claim brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

### STATEMENT OF ADMITTED/UNCONTESTED FACTS III.

- 1. Detective Tucker was acting in the course and scope of his employment as an LVMPD detective at all times relevant to this lawsuit.
- 2. Plaintiffs were members of the Sunset Activist Collective, a local activist group, and are associated with CopBlock, an activist group critical of law enforcement.
- 3. Since 2011, Plaintiffs have conducted protests by using chalk to write antipolice messages on the sidewalks in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 4. On June 8, 2013, Plaintiffs chalked anti-police messages in front of LVMPD headquarters. Sgt. Wallace issued citations to each Plaintiff for violation of Nevada's graffiti statute - NRS § 206.330. Plaintiff Patterson asked to speak with Sgt. Wallace's supervisor, Lieutenant Liberty. After arrival, Lt. Liberty told the Plaintiffs that chalking was illegal but they would not be cited if they cleaned the sidewalk. Plaintiffs disagreed that chalking was illegal, refused to clean the sidewalk, and were issued citations.
  - 5. Detective Tucker was assigned to investigate the citations.
- 6. Detective Tucker researched Plaintiffs' messages and monitored their social media. In doing so, he learned that Plaintiffs were affiliated with anti-police organizations.
- 7. On July 13, 2013, Plaintiffs Ballentine and Patterson chalked anti-police messages outside LVMPD's headquarters. Although LVMPD officers witnessed the chalking, the Plaintiffs were not cited or talked to.
- 8. On July 18, 2013, Plaintiffs chalked anti-police messages at the Regional Justice Center. The chalking spanned approximately 1,000 square feet. Detective Tucker

27

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

witnessed	and	photographed	the	messages.	He	discussed	the	messages	with	Plaintif
Ballentine,	, but l	he did not stop	or ci	te the Plaint	tiffs.					

- 9. On August 9, 2013, Detective Tucker drafted warrants for Plaintiffs' arrests. Detective Tucker's warrant outlined the Plaintiffs' prior alleged chalking activities, described the messages being chalked, and the surfaces to which chalk was applied.
- 10. The District Attorney accepted the warrants and submitted them to a Justice of the Peace who approved arrest warrants for each Plaintiff.
  - 11. On August 10, 2013, Plaintiffs were arrested.
  - 12. The District Attorney ultimately dropped all charges.

### IV. STATEMENT OF UNCONTESTED FACTS

The following facts, although not admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence to the contrary:

1. The Plaintiffs' anti-police messages are protected speech under the First Amendment.

### V. STATEMENT OF CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT

The following are issues of fact to be tried and determined at trial.

- 1. Whether Detective Tucker had probable cause to obtain the arrest warrants.
- 2. Whether the "loss" due to chalking was over \$250.00 regarding the incidents for which the Plaintiffs were arrested and incarcerated.
- 3. Whether, in response to increased chalking activity and incurred cleaning costs, the City of Las Vegas indicated to LVMPD that it was willing to prosecute if LVMPD observed someone chalking the sidewalks.
- 4. Whether Detective Tucker's arrest warrants were obtained by Detective Tucker in retaliation for the Plaintiffs' chalking of anti-police messages and/or due to Plaintiffs' lawful involvement in anti-police organizations (i.e., Detective Tucker's subjective intent for obtaining the arrest warrants).
- 5. Whether the Plaintiffs suffered damages and, if so, the amount of Plaintiffs' damages.

MARQUIS A	MARQUIS AURBACH	10001 Park Run Drive
-----------	-----------------	----------------------

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

	6.	Whether Detective Tucker's actions were the proximate cause of Plaintiffs'
claime	ed dama	ges.
VI.	STAT	EMENT OF CONTESTED ISSUES OF LAW
	The fo	llowing are issues of law to be tried and determined at trial.
	1.	Whether Detective Tucker is protected by qualified immunity.
	2.	Whether Detective Tucker acted unconstitutionally by including the Plaintiffs'
chalke	ed anti-p	police messages in Declaration of Arrest used to obtain the arrest warrants.
	3.	Whether Detective Tucker acted unconstitutionally by failing to include
suffici	ent or a	ccurate facts related to the elements of the alleged offense including: the amount
of actu	ual loss,	whether or not the chalking was without permission and how the chalk defaced
the pro	operty a	t issue.
	4.	Whether a neutral magistrate's independent determination of probable cause
insula	tes Dete	ctive Tucker from liability from a retaliatory arrest claim.
	5.	Whether it is unlawful to have drawn chalk on a sidewalk owned and
mainta	ained by	the City of Las Vegas in 2013.
	6.	Whether Plaintiffs can meet their burden to introduce medical records and
medic	al testin	nony regarding their claimed damages.
VII.	EXHI	<u>BITS</u>
	A.	THE FOLLOWING EXHIBITS ARE STIPULATED INTO EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE AND BE SO MARKED BY THE CLERK
		1. <u>Joint Exhibits</u>
	1.	Booking Voucher (Bates No. LVMPD 00001);

## **INTO EVIDENCE** ERK

- 2. Declaration of Arrest - Ballentine (Bates No. LVMPD 000002);
- 3. Incident Recall for 7/18/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000004-000005);
- 4. Justice Court Disposition Notice - Kelly Patterson (Bates No. LVMPD 000007);
  - 5. Property Report Kelly Patterson (Bates No. LVMPD 000009);
  - 6. Temporary Custody Record – Ballentine (Bates No. LVMPD 000011);

	- 11		
	1	7.	Unit Log for 7/18/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000013);
	2	8.	Witness List (Bates No. LVMPD 000014-000015);
	3	9.	Brian Ballentine Arrest Records:
	4		a. Inmate Assessments (Bates No. LVMPD 000016)
	5		b. Temporary Custody Record (Bates No. LVMPD 000017)
	6		c. Justice Court – Notice of Bond Acceptance (Bates No. LVMPD 000018)
	7		d. Agreement to Appear in Court and to Waive Extradition After Admissions
	8		to Bail (Bates No. LVMPD 000019)
	9		e. CCDC Arrest Warrant Abstract (Bates No. LVMPD 000020)
	10		f. Inventory of Personal Property (Bates No. LVMPD 000021)
	11		g. Bulk Property Tag (Bates No. LVMPD 000022)
91	12		h. Housing Locations by Inmate (Bates No. LVMPD 000023)
702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816	13		i. Inmate Demographics (Bates No. LVMPD 000024)
ada 9) : (702)	14		j. NaphCare Mental Health Evaluation (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000025-
1 FAX	15		000059)
82-071	16		k. Booking Funds (Bates No. LVMPD 000060)
(702)	17	10.	Kelly Patterson Arrest Records:
:	18		a. Inmate Assessments (Bates No. LVMPD 000061)
-	19		b. Temporary Custody Record (Bates No. LVMPD 000062)
2	20		c. Clark County Pretrial Services Release Agreement (Bates No. LVMPD
2	21		000063)
4	22		d. CCDC Arrest Warrant Abstract (Bates No. LVMPD 000064)
4	23		e. Inventory of Personal Property (Bates No. LVMPD 000065)
4	24		f. Bulk Property Tag (Bates No. LVMPD 000066)
2	25		g. Housing Locations by Inmate (Bates No. LVMPD 000067)
4	26		h. Inmate Demographics (Bates No. LVMPD 000068)
4	27		i. NaphCare Mental Health Evaluation (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000069-
4	28		000076)

 $\begin{array}{c} Page~7~of~18\\ \text{MAC:} 05166\text{--}824~CHALKERS-2022.} 08.05~\text{Joint Pretrial Order PREFINAL 8/5/2022 3:} 26~\text{PM} \end{array}$ 

1		j. Booking Funds (Bates No. LVMPD 000077)
2	11.	Photographs (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000081-000322);
3	12.	7/18/13:
4		a. Case Report (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000366-000376);
5		b. Property Report (Patterson) (Bates No. LVMPD 000377);
6		c. City of Las Vegas Official Damage Estimate (Bates No. LVMPD 000378);
7		d. City of Las Vegas Official Damage Estimate with Photos (Bates Nos.
8		LVMPD 000379-000408);
9		e. Thumbnail photos for Event #LLV130608002756 (Bates Nos. LVMPD
10		000409-000415);
11		f. Declaration of Warrant/Summons (Patterson) for 7/13/13, 7/16/13, 7/18/13
<u>9</u> 12		(Bates Nos. LVMPD 000416-000420);
1385-2816 144: (202) 382-2816 159-2011 EAX: (202) 382-2816 160 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17	13.	7/31/13:
702 14		a. Patterson Justice Court Disposition Notice for 7/31/13 Warrant (Bates No.
¥ 15		LVMPD 000421);
385-07	14.	8/1/2013:
<sup>20</sup> 17		b. Dazo Justice Court Disposition Notice for 8/1/13 Warrant (Bates No.
18		LVMPD 000422);
19	15.	Arrest Warrant (Dazo) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000423-000426);
20	16.	Temporary Custody Record (Dazo) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000427);
21	17.	Hand-written note (Bates No. LVMPD 000428);
22	18.	Arrest Warrant (Patterson) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000429-000430);
23	19.	Arrest Warrant (Ballentine) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000431-000432);
24	20.	Temporary Custody Record (Patterson) (Bates No. LVMPD 000433);
25	21.	Declaration of Arrest (Patterson) (Bates No. LVMPD 000434);
26	22.	Temporary Custody Record (Ballentine) (Bates No. LVMPD 000435);
27	23.	Declaration of Arrest (Ballentine) (Bates No. LVMPD 000436);
28	24.	Property Report (Patterson) (Bates No. LVMPD 000437);

 $Page~8~of~18\\ MAC:05166-824~CHALKERS-2022.08.05~Joint~Pretrial~Order~PREFINAL~8/5/2022~3:26~PM$ 

10001 Park Run Drive	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816	

1	25.	ID Card (Dazo) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000438-000439);
2	26.	LVMPD Witness List (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000440-000441);
3	27.	Gangs/Graffiti Crime Unit File (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000462-000667);
4	28.	Andrew Burnett Emails (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000668-000788);
5	29.	Christopher Tucker Emails (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000789-000805); (see also
6	00484-00050	0; 000625);
7	30.	PCA Cleaning Concrete Article (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000836-000837);
8	31.	LVMPD Department Manual Parts 4-7 (7-26-13) (Bates Nos. LVMPD
9	001195-0013	63); <sup>1</sup>
10	32.	Graffiti Pamphlet (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001364-001365);
11	33.	Graffiti Investigations Pamphlet (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001366-001367);
12	34.	Graffiti Investigations PowerPoint and Quiz (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001368-
13	001406);	
14	35.	General Response to Graffiti Calls – Pamphlet (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001407-
15	001408);	
16	36.	LVMPD Photographs – 7/18/2013 (LVMPD 002239-002307);
17	В.	AS TO THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS, THE PARTIES HAVE REACHED THE FOLLOWING STIPULATIONS.
18		1. Plaintiff's Exhibits
19	n/a	
20 21		2. <u>Defendants' Exhibits</u>
22	n/a	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1 See Fourth Si	upplemental Privilege Log regarding redactions.
20		D 0 010

 $Page~9~of~18\\ \text{MAC:}05166-824~\text{CHALKERS}-2022.08.05~\text{Joint Pretrial Order PREFINAL 8/5/2022 3:}26~\text{PM}$ 

MARQUIS AURBACH	10001 Park Run Drive
$\mathbf{M}'$	

R ney Ber		
ney Ber		
ney Ber		
iey Ber		
ed – see		
000442		
000443) (CONFIDENTIAL);		
00354);		
000447		
000450		
,		
000451		

1	8.	NCIC Inquiry (Patterson) 7/17/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000452
2	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
3	9.	Person Base Record (Ballentine) 7/17/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000453
4	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
5	10.	DMV Query (Ballentine) 7/17/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000454
6	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
7	11.	NCIC Inquiry (Ballentine) 7/17/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000455
8	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
9	12.	NCJIS Inquiry (Ballentine) 7/17/13 (Bates No. LVMPD 000456
10	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
11	13.	NCIC/NCJIS Inquiry (Dazo) 7/17/13 (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000457-000459
12	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
13	14.	ID Card (Dazo) 7/18/13 (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000460-000461
14	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
15	15.	Det. Scott Black Emails (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000806-000835);
16	16.	Employment File for Tucker (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000838-000865
17	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
18	17.	Employment File for Liberty (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000866-000995
19	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
20	18.	Employment File for Wallace (Bates Nos. LVMPD 000996-001135
21	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
22	19.	Training History for Tucker (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001136-001149);
23	20.	Training History for Liberty (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001150 -001167);
24	21.	Training History for Wallace (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001168-001183);
25	22.	LVMPD Policy - Department Response to Criminal Street Gang (Bates Nos
26	LVMPD 001	184-001188);
27	23.	Printout: Officer Complaint History for Liberty (REDACTED) (Bates Nos

LVMPD 001189-001191) (CONFIDENTIAL);

1	24.	Printout: Officer Complaint History for Wallace (REDACTED) (Bates No.
2	LVMPD 001	192) (CONFIDENTIAL);
3	25.	Printout: Officer Complaint History for Tucker (Bates No. LVMPD 001193)
4	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
5	26.	Printout: Brady Findings for Tucker, Wallace and Liberty (Bates No. LVMPD
6	001194) (CO	NFIDENTIAL);
7	27.	Graffiti Calls - PowerPoint (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001409-001439); and
8	28.	CAD Reports referring Chalk (REDACTED) (Bates Nos. LVMPD 001440-
9	001443);2	
10	29.	Citation and Property Report (LVMPD 001444-001446);
11	30.	Photographs regarding Digital Evidence for Event #LLV140813003835
12	(LVMPD 001447-001452);	
13	31.	CAD Report for Event #LL140813003835 (LVMPD 001453-001455);
14	32.	Gail Sacco's Facebook post dated July 19, 2013 (LVMPD 001456);
15	33.	Naphcare Contract and Amendments (LVMPD 001457-001525);
16	34.	4/105.11 Records Maintenance and Retention Policy (LVMPD 001526);
17	35.	Retention Destruction Schedule Policy (LVMPD 001527-001575);
18	36.	Incident Recall for 06/08/2013 (LVMPD 001576-001577);
19	37.	Email exchange between LVMPD counsel and Andrew Burnett (LVMPD
20	000759);	
21	38.	Documents obtained from LVMPD Internal Affairs (LVMPD 001578-001785)
22	(CONFIDEN	TIAL);
23		a. Wallace IA records (previously produced on 12/10/15: LVMPD
24	001748-1760	; 001772-1781; 001782-001784 – with redactions per Court Order at ECF No.
25	107).	
26	39.	DVD of July 18, 2013 Regional Justice Center Video (LVMPD 001786);
27		

See Fifth Supplement to Privilege Log.

1		40.	Eldora Medical Associates/Dr. Peprah Medical Records (LVMPD 001787
2	001829) (CONFIDENTIAL);		
3		41.	Valley View Health Center/Dawn Lantz, APN Medical Records (LVMPI
4	001830	)-00185	53) (CONFIDENTIAL);
5		42.	The Olympia Free Clinic Medical Records (LVMPD 001854-001863
6	(CONF	FIDEN	ΓΙΑL);
7		43.	2013 First Friday Permits (LVMPD 001864-001899);
8		44.	Clark County School District Records (LVMPD 001900-002052);
9		45.	LVMPD Detective Report for Event #LLV140813003835 (LVMPD 002053
10	002055	5);	
11		46.	Behavioral Health Resources – Medical Records (LVMPD 002056-002085);
12		47.	Incident Recall – 10/22/12 (LVMPD 002086-002089);
13		48.	Incident Recall – 7/14/13 (LVMPD 002090);
14		49.	CSI Report - 10/22/12 (LVMPD 002091);
15		50.	LVMPD Photographs – 10/22/12 (LVMPD 002092-002160);
16		51.	LVMPD Photographs – 6/08/2013 (LVMPD 002161-002207);
17		52.	John Liberty Email (LVMPD 002208-002213);
18		53.	Documents produced by D.A. Office pursuant to Plaintiffs' November 18
19	2015 S	ubpoer	na Duces Tecum;
20		54.	2013 First Friday Permits;
21		55.	Michael Wallace Email (LVMPD 002214-002234);
22		56.	Gang Activity Reports (LVMPD 002235-002238);
23		57.	LVMPD Policy: Demonstrations/Activities (LVMPD 002308); and
24		58.	Henkel Photograph (LVMPD 002309).
25		Plainti	ffs object to the above exhibits on relevance, foundation, and hearsay.
26	VIII.	<b>DEPO</b>	<u>OSITIONS</u>
27		The pa	arties will offer the depositions for witnesses who are unavailable at the time o
28	trial or	for im	peachment purposes.

 $\begin{array}{c} Page~13~of~18\\ \text{MAC:} 05166\text{--}824~CHALKERS-2022.} 08.05~\text{Joint Pretrial Order PREFINAL 8/5/2022 3:} 26~\text{PM} \end{array}$ 

**OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITIONS** 

# 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

27

28

2		The pa	arties do not object to the use of depositions for impeachment purposes.
3	X.	WITN	<u>NESSES</u>
4		<b>A.</b>	PLAINTIFF
5		The Pl	aintiff anticipates calling the following witnesses at trial:
6		1.	Brian Ballentine, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
7	89101		
8		2.	Catalino Dazo, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
9	89101		
10		3.	Kelly Patterson, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
11	89101.		
12		4.	Detective Christopher Tucker, c/o Marquis Aurbach, 10001 Park Run Drive,
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
14		5.	Bernard G. Little, 5381 Painted Mirage, Las Vegas, Nevada 89149.
15		The Pl	aintiff does not anticipate calling the following witnesses but, reserves the right
16	to do so should the need arise.		d the need arise.
17		1.	Dave Schissler, City of Las Vegas, Graffiti Abatement Departments, 400
18	Stewart Avenue, 2nd Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101.		
19		The P	laintiff reserves the right to call any witness identified by the defendant as a
20	witness or potential witness as listed below.		
21		B.	DEFENDANTS
22		The de	efendants anticipate calling the following witnesses at trial:
23		1.	Detective Christopher Tucker, c/o Marquis Aurbach, 10001 Park Run Drive,
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
25		2.	Lieutenant Michael Wallace (Ret.), c/o Marquis Aurbach, 10001 Park Run

Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

3.

Vegas, Nevada 89145

Lieutenant John Liberty, c/o Marquis Aurbach, 10001 Park Run Drive, Las

1	4.	Brian Ballentine, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
2	89101	
3	5.	Catalino Dazo, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
4	89101	
5	6.	Kelly Patterson, c/o McLetchie Law, 602 S. Tenth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada
6	89101	
7	7.	Christopher J. Lalli, Esq., Assistant District Attorney, Office of the District
8	Attorney, 200	Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101, (702) 671-2501
9	8.	Scott Mitchell, Esq., (address treated as confidential for privacy/safety
10	purposes)	
11	9.	Jack Ryan, Expert Witness.
12	The de	efendants do not anticipate calling the following witnesses but, reserve the right
13	to do so shou	ld the need arise.
14	1.	Robert Brown (P#9307), Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S. Martin
15	Luther King,	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
16	2.	Det. P. Scott Black (P#5479), Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S.
17	Martin Luther	r King, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3309]
18	3.	Lisa Cologna, Deputy Marshal, Eighth Judicial District, Regional Justice
19	Center, 20	0 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, (702) 671-4571,
20	colognal@cla	rkcountycourts.us
21	4.	Jothan Peterson (P#7377), Clark County Detention Center, c/o Las Vegas
22	Metropolitan	Police Dept., 400 S. Martin Luther King, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-
23	3309	
24	5.	Levi Hancock (P#7083), Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S. Martin
25	Luther King,	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
26	6.	Dave Schissler, City of Las Vegas, Graffiti Abatement Departments, 400

Stewart Avenue, 2nd Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

1.

April 2023.

,	7.	Captain William Teel, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, 400 S.
Martin 1	Luther	King, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
,	8.	Chance McClish, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department., 400 S. Martin
Luther 1	King, I	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111,
!	9.	Andrew Keller (P#8796), Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, 400 S.
Martin 1	Luther	King, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
	10.	Jeffrey L. McNeil (P#13340), Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S.
Martin 1	Luther	King, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
	11.	David W. Larrington, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S. Martin
Luther 1	King, I	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
	12.	Dody Bateson, Public Works Administrator, City of Las Vegas, 333 N.
Rancho	, Las V	Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 229-6737
	13.	Joseph M. Talamanates, Painter, Department Operations and Maintenance,
City of	Las Ve	egas, 333 N. Rancho Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 229-1030
	14.	Jesse Hill, Rapid Response Team Member, Graffiti Abatement Program,
Departn	nent O	perations and Maintenance, City of Las Vegas, 333 N. Rancho Drive, Las
Vegas,	Nevada	a 89106, (702) 229-1030
	15.	Jerry Walker, City of Las Vegas, Director of Department of Operations and
Mainter	nance,	333 N. Rancho Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 229-1030
	16.	Captain Brett Primas, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept., 400 S. Martin
Luther 1	King, I	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106, (702) 828-3111
	17.	Dan Phillips, Somerset Academy Charter Schools, 4650 Losee Road, North
Las Vegas, NV 89081		
	18.	David M. Nixon, MSW, LSWAIC, MHP, Behavioral Health Resources, 3857
Martin '	Way E	., Olympia, WA 98506, (360) 704-7170
XI.	TRIAI	L DATES
	Counse	el for both parties have met and submit the following two trial dates:

## 10001 Park Run Drive

2. June 2023.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

(702)

It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the Court will set the trial of this matter on one (1) of the agreed-upon dates if possible, if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's calendar.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT this 5th day of August, 2022.

MARQUIS AURBACH

MCLETCHIE LAW

By: /s/ Craig R. Anderson Craig R. Anderson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6882 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorney for Defendants

By: /s/ Margaret A. McLetchie Margaret A. McLetchie, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10931 602 South Tenth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Plaintiffs

### XII. **TRIAL**

It is estimated that the trial herein will take a total of 5 days.

### **ACTION BY THE COURT** XIII.

This case is set down for jury trial on the stacked calendar on April 10, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in Las Vegas Courtroom 6C. Calendar Call shall be held on April 4, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in Las Vegas Courtroom 6C.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: August 8, 2022

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE: Due to the unusually large number of complex criminal cases set for lengthy trials before this Court, civil trials may possibly be held in a trailing status for months or be assigned to another District Court Judge for trial. Therefore, the Court strongly urges the parties to consider their option to proceed before a Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule IB 2-2, in accordance with 28 USC Section 636 and FRCP 73.

The Clerk shall provide the parties with a link to AO 85 Notice of Availability, Consent and Order of Reference - Exercise of Jurisdiction by a U.S. Magistrate Judge form on the Courts website.