for Designating Party. This duty exists irrespective of the duty to consult on the underlying motion.

Proposed Protective Orders, page 7.

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs wish to keep the sentences, and Defendants wish to have them removed because it imposes an unnecessary burden. The Court has reviewed the disputed language, and finds that it should remain in the protective order, with the exception of the sentence reading "This duty exists

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

27

28

irrespective of the duty to consult on the underlying motion." The Parties are hereby instructed to file a stipulated protective order containing this language.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED** this 22nd day of October, 2015.

GEORGE FOLEY, JR United States Magistrate Judge