1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 CLIFFORD J. SCHUETT, 9 Case No. 2:14-cv-1645-JAD-CWH Plaintiff, 10 Order 11 WARDEN COLLINS et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 16 I. **DISCUSSION** 17 18 complaint in its entirety for failure to state a claim. (Doc. 24 at 8). The Court granted Plaintiff 19 until January 16, 2015, to file an amended complaint seeking injunctive relief. (*Id.*). The Court 20 also denied Plaintiff's eleven motions for protective orders without prejudice and directed 21 Plaintiff to file one motion for injunctive relief which incorporated those allegations and 22 identified the specific relief sought. (Id. at 9). The Court also dismissed Plaintiff's petitions 23

Schuett v. Collins et al

24

25

26

27

28

On December 17, 2014, this Court entered a screening order dismissing Plaintiff's for writ of habeas corpus without prejudice and directed Plaintiff to file his petitions for writ of habeas corpus in a new action. (Id.). The Court informed Plaintiff that if he did not file an amended complaint by January 16, 2015, the Court would dismiss the case with prejudice. (*Id.*).

Page 1 of 3

Doc. 38

On December 23, 2014, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal as to this Court's screening order. (Doc. 27). That same day, Plaintiff also filed two petitions for writ of habeas corpus. (Doc. 26, 28).

On March 25, 2015, the Ninth Circuit held that "the questions raised in [Plaintiff's] appeal [were] so insubstantial as not to require further argument" and then summarily affirmed this Court's denial of preliminary injunctive relief. (Doc. 37 at 1).

The Court now grants Plaintiff 30 days from the date of this order to comply with this Court's December 17, 2014, order. Plaintiff has until April 27, 2015, to file an amended complaint seeking injunctive relief. If he fails to file an amended complaint by April 27, 2015, the Court will dismiss this action with prejudice.

Furthermore, pursuant to this Court's screening order, Plaintiff is ordered to <u>not</u> file any more petitions for writ of habeas corpus in this action. Accordingly, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's petitions for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 26, 28) without prejudice and directs Plaintiff to file his petitions for writ of habeas corpus in a new action if he believes he has a proper legal basis to do so.

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the petitions for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 26, 28) are dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff may file a petition for writ of habeas corpus and an *in forma pauperis* application in a new action, but he may not file any further habeas corpus petitions in this case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall send plaintiff two copies of an in forma pauperis application form for a prisoner, one copy of the instructions for same, two copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus form, and one copy of instructions for the same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, plaintiff has until April 27, 2015, to file an amended complaint seeking injunctive relief in compliance with the court's instructions in the screening order (Doc. 24 at 6-8). If he fails to file an amended complaint by April 27, 2015, this action

will be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall send to Plaintiff the approved form for filing a § 1983 complaint, instructions for the same, a copy of his original complaint (Doc. 25), and a copy of this Court's December 17, 2014 screening order (Doc. 24). If Plaintiff chooses to file an amended complaint, he must use the approved form and he shall write the words "First Amended" above the words "Civil Rights Complaint" in the caption.

Dated: March 27, 2015.

Jennifel Dorsey

United States District Judge