

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 HAKKASAN LV, LLC, a Nevada limited)
4 liability company, HAKKASAN LIMITED, a)
5 foreign private limited company,)

Case No.: 2:14-cv-01717-GMN-NJK

6 Plaintiffs,)

ORDER

7 vs.)

8 MARK DANIEL ADAMCZYK, an)
9 individual; iDRIVE ORLANDO, LLC,)
10 a Florida limited liability company;)
11 JAMES PATRICK ADAMCZYK, an)
12 individual; MYDOMAINHOLDINGS,)
13 LLC, a foreign entity,)

14 Defendants.)

15 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States
16 Magistrate Judge Nancy Koppe, (ECF No. 64), which recommends that Plaintiffs’ Motion for
17 Attorneys’ Fees (ECF No. 63) be **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**.

18 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a
19 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1–4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B);
20 D. Nev. R. IB 3–2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo
21 determination of those portions to which objections are made. Id. The Court may accept, reject,
22 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge.
23 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3–2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is
24 not required to conduct “any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”
25 *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a
district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where

1 no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122
2 (9th Cir. 2003).

3 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

4 Accordingly,


5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 64) is
6 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs’ Motion for Attorneys’ Fees (ECF No. 63)
8 is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs be awarded attorneys’ fees in the
10 amount of \$28,610.80.

11 **DATED** this 9 day of May, 2016.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Judge