premature. The Court also screened the Complaint (Dkt. #1-1) and determined that Plaintiffs failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* Order (Dkt. #20).

Since the Court issued the Order to Show Cause addressing Plaintiffs' patent abuse of the legal system, Plaintiffs have filed seven additional motions. The six motions addressed by this Order are procedurally improper, nonsensical and frivolous, individually and when read together. For example, the Plaintiffs request a jury trial, a trial date and appointment of counsel at the same time they seek to abandon the prosecution of this action and a motion in limine admitting an attached document as character evidence. These inappropriate filings reinforce the conclusion that filing restrictions are necessary to curb Plaintiffs' abusive litigation conduct. As the Order to Show Cause explained in great detail, Plaintiffs have a long history of submitting meritless and frivolous filings. The motions are denied.

Based upon the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine (Dkt. #26) is DENIED.
- 2. Plaintiffs' Motion to Redact the FRCP Rule 59 Motion to Request a New Trial (Dkt. #27) is DENIED.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Motion for Nolle Prosequi (Dkt. #28) is DENIED.
- 4. Plaintiffs' Motion to Request a Civil Jury Trial (Dkt. #29) is DENIED.
- 5. Plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Dkt. #30) is DENIED.
- 6. Plaintiffs' Motion to Redact Motion to Vacate (Dkt. #32) is DENIED.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2015.

PEGGY A. LEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE