LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROBERT W. FREEMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003062 E-Mail: <u>Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com</u> PAMELA L. MCGAHA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 008181 Email: <u>Pamela.McGaha@lewisbrisbois.com</u> LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 893-3789 <i>Attorneys for Defendant</i> United Services Automobile Association Casualty Insurance Company					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
10 11						
12	ROOSEVEL	T WILLIAMS, individually,	CASE NO. 2:14-cv-02092-GMN-GWF			
13		Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE			
14	VS.		(THIRD REQUEST)			
15	UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION; DOES 1 through 10, ROE		(
16	ENTITIES 11 through 20, inclusive jointly					
17		Defendants.				
18	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, the parties, by and through their respective					
19		•	est that this Court extend discovery in the			
20						
21			o and including March 11, 2016. In addition,			
22	the parties request that the dispositive motions and pretrial order deadlines be extended					
23	for an additional ninety (90) days as outlined herein. In support of this Stipulation and					
24	Request, the parties state as follows:					
25	1.	1. On December 11, 2014, Defendant removed the instant action to Federal				
26	Court.					
27	2.	On December 11, 2014, Defen	dant filed its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint			
28						
	4845-1592-5032.1		1			
			Dockets.Justia.			

1	with Federal Court.			
2	3.	On December 29, 2014, Defendant filed its Statement Regarding Removal.		
3	4.	On February 2, 2015, the parties prepared and the Court then entered a		
4		Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (the Order).		
5 6	5.	On February 3, 2015, Plaintiff served his initial document and witness		
7		disclosures.		
8	6.	On March 10, Defendant served it's initial document and witness		
9		disclosures.		
10	7.	On March 20, 2015, Defendant served written discovery on Plaintiff.		
11		Plaintiff served his responses to Defendant's Discovery request on May 6,		
12		2015; however, Plaintiff did not provide signed medical authorizations until		
13		August 12, 2015. Plaintiff has agreed to provide supplemental responses to		
14 15		his Interrogatories identifying medical providers he treated with prior to the		
16		subject accident, so Defendant can utilize the medical authorization.		
17	8.	On April 16, 2015, Plaintiff served written discovery on Defendant.		
18		Defendant served its responses to Plaintiff's Discovery on May 14, 2015.		
19	9.	Defendant took the deposition of Dr. Leo Germin on August 11, 2015.		
20	DISCOVERY REMAINING			
21	1.	Defendant will take the deposition of Plaintiff; however, due to the apparent		
22	grave medical condition of Plaintiff (due to illness unrelated to the subject accident), a			
23 24	from which is not expected to recover, the parties are required to explore alternate means			
25	of completing his deposition.			
26	2.	Plaintiff will take the deposition of Defendant's representatives.		
27	3.	The parties will collect Plaintiff's medical and billing records related to		
28	medical treat	ment following the accident, as well as medical records for medical		
	4845-1592-5032.1	2		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1 || treatment Plaintiff underwent prior to the subject accident.

2 4. The parties will take the depositions of the designated expert witnesses.
3 5. The parties will take the depositions of Plaintiff's medical providers once
4 Defendant is able to obtain the medical records.

6

7

 The parties will take the depositions of any and all other witnesses garnered through discovery.

8 This Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or
9 other purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of
10 allowing sufficient time to conduct discovery.

11

WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

12 The parties have exchanged written discovery requests. Defendant received a 13 signed medical authorization on August 12, 2015; however, Plaintiff has not yet provided 14 information related to medical providers he sought treatment with prior to the subject 15 accident. Defendant is of the understanding that Plaintiff is currently in a declining state 16 of health, so significant, that he is confined to his home with in-home medical services 17 attending to his needs. The reason for his declining health appears to be unrelated to 18 injuries sustained in the accident, but instead, related to a long standing medical 19 condition/illness. It is the parties' understanding that Plaintiff's current state of health may 20 have had some impact on his ability to provide a complete history of his medical 21 treatment prior to and subsequent to the subject accident as requested by way of 22 Defendant's written discovery. However, Plaintiff's counsel is working with Plaintiff to 23 obtain sufficient medical information to allow Defendant to utilize the medical 24 authorization to obtain pertinent pre-accident medical records. Plaintiff's prior medical 25 condition is particularly important in this case because he is alleging significant 26 neurological injuries as a result of the subject accident.

27

28

Defendant has requested Plaintiff supplement his discovery responses with



1 information related to his prior medical condition so the status of Plaintiff's pre-accident 2 medical condition can be understood. Defendant also intended to obtain and/or confirm 3 this information at Plaintiff's deposition. However, the parties became aware recently of the serious nature of Plaintiff's declining health which prevents him from leaving his 4 5 house to attend a deposition. Moreover, it appears Plaintiff's condition requires only a 6 limited period of time in which he could sit for a deposition in his home. Accordingly, the 7 parties are in the process of determining the best means possible to obtain pertinent 8 information from Plaintiff while accommodating his health needs.

9 The parties anticipate that Defendant will collect Plaintiff's medical records once a 10 list of medical providers are provided to Defendant. Since Plaintiff is alleging significant 11 neurological injuries as a result of the accident, in addition to neck and back injuries, it will 12 be necessary for all medical records to be obtained in order for Defendant to adequately 13 assess expert retention for this case. Thus, the parties are requesting an extension to the deadline in which to designate experts for this case. Plaintiff has requested to take the 14 15 deposition of one or more of Defendant's employees involved in handling Plaintiff's 16 underinsured motorist claim ("UIM claim") and the parties will need to coordinate dates for 17 these depositions. Defendant's employees are located out of state, so it will require travel 18 by the parties for the depositions. Once Plaintiff's medical records are obtained, the 19 parties may need to schedule the depositions of certain of Plaintiff's treating physicians 20 as well as the depositions of any expert witnesses. The parties have already completed 21 the deposition of Plaintiff's neurologist, Dr. Leo Germin.

The parties have conferred regarding discovery for this case and the issues made
known recently regarding the state of Plaintiff's declining health which complicates
discovery for this case. It appears the parties can work together to complete the
discovery requested with additional time allowed by this court.

<u>Extension or Modification of The Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order</u>. LR 26-4
 governs modifications or extension of this discovery plan and scheduling order. Any
 stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the



1 expiration of the subject deadline, and comply fully with LR 26-4.

2

The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed

3 extended deadlines.

A	4				
	Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline		
5	Discovery Cut-off	December 11, 2015	March 10, 2016		
6 7	Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2)	October 9, 2015	January 7, 2016		
9 10 11	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	November 11, 2015	February 8, 2016		
	Interim Status Report	October 9, 2015	January 7, 2016		
	Dispositive Motions	January 12, 2016	April 11, 2016, or at least thirty (30) days after the close of discovery		
12	Joint Pretrial Order	February 10, 2016	May 11, 2016, or at least		
13			thirty (30) days after the decision of last Dispositive		
14			Motions		

This Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or 15 other purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of 16 allowing sufficient time to conduct discovery in this case and adequately prepare their 17 respective cases for trial.

18

This is the third request for extension of time in this matter. The parties 19

respectfully submit that the reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons and 20

21 good cause for the short extension.

111 23 111

22

- 24 111
- 25
- /// 26
- /// 27
- 28 ///



4845-1592-5032.1

1	WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the discovery					
2	period by ninety days (90) days from the current deadline of December 11, 2015 up to					
3	and including March 10, 2016 and the other	discovery dates as outlined in accordance				
4	with the table above.					
5	DATED this 18 th day of September, 2015.	DATED this 18 th day of September, 2015.				
6 7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH	RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM				
8						
9	<u>/s/ Pamela L. McGaha</u> Robert W. Freeman, Jr., Esq.	<u>/a/ A.J. Sharp</u> A.J. Sharp, Esq.				
10	Nevada Bar No. 3062 Pamela L. McGaha, Esq.	Nevada Bar No. 11457 801 S. Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff				
11	Nevada Bar No. 8181 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600					
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Attorneys for Defendant United Services Automobile					
13	Association Casualty Insurance Company					
14	Company					
15	ORDER					
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
17	Dated this 21st day of September, 2015.					
18	II see					
19	GEORGE FOLEY, JR.					
20		ited States Magistrate Judge				
21 22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	4845-1592-5032.1	6				

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW