I

1	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * *		
4			
5	KIMBERLY A. MAXSON, Plaintiff,		
6	V.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE	
7	MOSAIC SALES SOLUTIONS HOLDING COMPANY LLC,	(ECF No. 96)	
8 9	Defendant.		
10	Plaintiff Kimberly Maxson moves to set aside portions of my prior order (ECF No. 94) on		
11	numerous grounds. I grant in part and deny in part her motion.		
12	First, Maxson requests I set aside the portion of my prior order where I granted her motion		
13	to withdraw certain documents. Maxson argues I incorrectly interpreted her motion to withdraw		
14	both versions of identical documents instead of just one version of the identical documents. I		
15	grant her motion to set aside in part to make clear that her duplicative request for judicial notice at		
16	ECF No. 41 and her duplicative notice of related cases at ECF No. 48 are withdrawn, and her		
17	request for judicial notice at ECF No. 40 and notice of related cases at ECF No. 44 are the		
18	operative copies of those documents. To the extent she seeks a ruling on her request for judicial		
19	notice at ECF No. 40, I deny it. There is no operative complaint in this action so there is no		
20	reason to take judicial notice of any particular document at this time. Moreover, many of the		
21	documents Maxson attaches to her request are not subject to judicial notice and appear to be		
22	completely unrelated to the issues in this case. See Fed. R. Evid. 201, 401, 402.		
23	Maxson also requests that the docket be notated to show this case as being related to case		
24	number 2:16-cv-00152-APG-CWH, Maxson v. H&R Block, Inc. That request is denied. See LR		
25	42-1(a).		
26	Next, Maxson requests that I reconsider the portion of my prior order denying her motion		
27	to strike or alternatively she seeks additional time to present more evidence in support of her		
28			

previously filed motion to strike. That portion of her motion to set aside is denied because there
 is no basis for reconsideration. *See Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cnty., Or. v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5
 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

Next, Maxson asserts Judge Koppe should recuse herself. That portion of her motion to set aside is denied without prejudice to Maxson filing a separate motion seeking Judge Koppe's recusal. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 144, 455.

Finally, Maxson requests that if I do not strike the defendant's motion to dismiss, that she
be given additional time to obtain counsel. That portion of her motion is denied. Maxson has had
ample time to obtain an attorney in this case, and she may continue to seek counsel. I will not
delay this case while she undertakes that effort.

Maxson has until February 6, 2017 to file her amended complaint. Failure to do so will
result in dismissal of this case without prejudice. As I previously advised Maxson, the court
cannot look to prior pleadings or other filings to make her amended complaint complete. Local
Rule 15-1 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior
pleading or filing. Therefore, if there are facts or evidence which Maxson believes relevant to her
claims, rather than referencing other filings she must allege those facts directly in the amended
complaint for them to be considered.

I also caution Maxson that she must abide by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8, which
requires that a complaint contain "a short and plain statement" of the plaintiff's claims. Maxson
is cautioned that Rule 8 is violated when a complaint is needlessly long, repetitious, confused, or
comprised of incomprehensible rambling. Additionally, she should refrain from including in her
amended complaint extraneous information unrelated to the actual claims at issue in this case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Kimberly Maxson's motion to set aside
(ECF No. 96) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The motion is granted to clarify
that ECF Nos. 41 and 48 are withdrawn and ECF Nos. 40 and 44 are the operative versions of the
request for judicial notice and notice of related cases. The motion is denied in all other respects.

27

4

5

6

28

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Kimberly Maxson shall file an amended	1
2	complaint on or before February 6, 2017. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of this a	ction
3	without prejudice.	
4	DATED this 5th day of January, 2017.	
5	Que la companya de la	
6	ANDREW P. GORDON	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG	Έ
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	
	3	