

1 **II. Plaintiff's Motion to Strike**

2 Plaintiff's motion to strike asks the Court to strike Defendant's opposition to one of her motions.
3 Docket No. 79 at 1 (seeking to strike Docket No. 74). For the same reason as discussed above, the motion
4 to strike is hereby **DENIED** because the opposition brief at issue is not a pleading.

5 **III. Plaintiff's Motion for a More Definite Statement**

6 Plaintiff's motion for more definite statement seeks relief related to Defendant's motion to dismiss
7 (Docket No. 54) and Defendant's motion to strike (Docket No. 64). United States District Judge Andrew
8 P. Gordon has granted Defendant's motion to dismiss, Docket No. 94, and the undersigned has denied
9 Defendant's motion to strike as stated in Section I above. Accordingly, the motion for a more definite
10 statement is **DENIED** as moot.

11 **IV. Conclusion**

12 For the reasons stated more fully above, Defendant's motion to strike (Docket No. 64) is **DENIED**,
13 Plaintiff's motion to strike (Docket No. 79) is **DENIED**, and Plaintiff's motion for a more definite
14 statement (Docket No. 80) is **DENIED** as moot.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: March 8, 2016

17 
18 _____
19 NANCY J. KOPPE
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28