1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	AZURE MANOR/RANCHO DE PAZ HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, Case No. 2:14-CV-2222 JCM (NJK)
8	Plaintiff(s),
9	
10	v. D.R. HORTON, INC.,
11	Diki Hokron, hvc., Defendant(s).
12	Derendant(5).
13	Presently before the court is plaintiff Azure Manor/Rancho de Paz Homeowners
14	Association's motion for "a declaratory judgment that allows formal litigation to proceed against
15	defendant D.R. H[orton], I[nc]." (ECF No. 21). Plaintiff submitted an identical document (ECF
16	No. 20) as a motion to lift stay—presumably because the document requested two forms of relief—
17	to which defendant submitted a response (ECF No. 23). Thereafter, plaintiff filed a reply. (ECF
18	No. 24).
19	"On March 15, 2016, [this court] stayed this case pending the completion of all [Nevada
20	Revised Statutes ("] NRS [")] Chapter 40 pre-litigation requirements." (ECF No. 25 at 1) (citing
21	(ECF No. 19)) (denying the aforementioned motion to lift the stay). This court is also cognizant
22	of defendant's July 6, 2017, motion to dismiss, which argues that plaintiff has not complied with
23	NRS 40.680. (ECF No. 28).
24	Thus, this court will deny the instant motion because it is either moot, as Magistrate Judge
25	Koppe denied the motion to lift the stay (ECF No. 25), or not yet ripe because there is a question
26	of whether the terms of this court's original order staying the case have been satisfied See (ECF
27	No. 28); see also <i>Pub. Utilities Comm'n of State of Cal. v. F.E.R.C.</i> , 100 F.3d 1451, 1458 (9th Cir.
28	
an udge	

1	1996) (discussing the mootness doctrine); Lee v. Clark Cty. Dist. Attorney's Office, 145 F. Supp.
2	2d 1185, 1187 (D. Nev. 2001) ("Ripeness generally requires that there be a hardship to the parties
3	in failing to adjudicate the matter and that the issues are fit for judicial review." (emphasis added)).
4	Accordingly,
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's motion for
6	declaratory judgment (ECF No. 21) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.
7	DATED July 26, 2017.
8	Xerres C. Mahan
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
han	