

Bank. (*Id.*) The Borrower defaulted on the Loan and U.S. Bank began the process of
 foreclosure and intends to foreclose under the First DOT. (*Id.*)

~

In the meantime, Borrower failed to pay HOA's fees due to it. (Id.) On February 4, 3 2011, HOA recorded a notice of delinguent assessment, followed by a notice of default 4 5 and election to sale, and a notice of trustee's sale. (Id.) The various notices state the 6 amount due to HOA, including fees, interests and costs, but not the amount of the 7 purported superpriority lien amount. (Id. at 4-5.) On March 25, 2011, Bank of America, N.A. ("Servicer"), the service of the Loan, attempted to obtain the superpriority lien 8 9 amount and tendered what it calculated to be the superpriority lien amount to HOA, who 10 refused Servicer's tender. (Id. at 5-6.)

HOA foreclosed on the Property on August 21, 2014. (*Id.*) SFR Investments Pool
I ("SFR") purchased the Property. (*Id.*) U.S. Bank initiates this action against HOA and
SFR. U.S. Bank asserts a claim for declaratory relief seeking to quiet title against both
HOA and SFR. HOA moves for dismissal.

15 **III. DISCUSSION**

16

A. Legal Standard

17 A court may dismiss a plaintiff's complaint for "failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). A properly pleaded complaint must 18 19 provide "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to 20 relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2); Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). 21 While Rule 8 does not require detailed factual allegations, it demands more than "labels 22 and conclusions" or a "formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action." Ashcroft 23 *v. Iqbal*, 556 US 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555) (internal quotation 24 marks omitted). "Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the 25 speculative level." Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555. Thus, to survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter to "state a claim to relief that is plausible 26 on its face." Igbal, 556 U.S. at 678 (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570) (internal 27 28 quotation marks omitted).

In *Iqbal*, the Supreme Court clarified the two-step approach district courts are to 1 2 apply when considering motions to dismiss. First, a district court must accept as true all 3 well-pleaded factual allegations in the complaint; however, legal conclusions are not entitled to the assumption of truth. Id. at 679. Mere recitals of the elements of a cause of 4 5 action, supported only by conclusory statements, do not suffice. Id. at 678. Second, a 6 district court must consider whether the factual allegations in the complaint allege a plausible claim for relief. Id. at 679. A claim is facially plausible when the plaintiff's 7 8 complaint alleges facts that allow a court to draw a reasonable inference that the 9 defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. *Id.* at 678.

10

B. Analysis

HOA argues that the Complaint fails to state a claim for declaratory relief because of a lack of justiciable controversy between the parties, the parties' positions are not adverse and the foreclosure sale has rendered any issue moot.¹ (Dkt. no. 19.) U.S. Bank argues that HOA conflates justiciability with the merits, the parties' positions are adverse and the issue is ripe. (Dkt. no. 25.) The parties also dispute whether U.S. Bank has stated a claim for quiet title.

17 The parties' arguments must be viewed in the context of recent developments in 18 Nevada law relating to homeowners' association liens. Under NRS § 116.3116, a 19 homeowners' association can establish a "lien on a unit for . . . any assessment levied 20 against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time . . . the 21 assessment or fine becomes due." NRS § 116.3116(1). Section 116.3116 further 22 provides that such a lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except," 23 among other categories of liens, "[a] first security interest on the unit recorded before the 24 date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent." NRS § 25 116.3116(2)(b). The statute, however, contains an exception to this exception, allowing a

26

3

 ¹HOA also argues that declaratory relief is a remedy. (Dkt. no. 19 at 5-6.) The Court agrees with U.S. Bank that it has stated a valid claim for declaratory relief, and not just asserting declaratory relief as a remedy.

homeowners' association to establish a lien that takes priority over a first security
 interest for unpaid assessments over a 9 months period preceding the enforcement of
 the lien. NRS § 116.3116.²

In 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court ruled that NRS § 116.3116 creates a "true
superpriority lien" for 9 months of unpaid homeowners' association assessments and
certain charges. *SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A.*, 334 P.3d 408, 419 (Nev.
2014) (en banc). Accordingly, the court further held, a nonjudicial foreclosure of a
homeowners' association lien under NRS § 116.3116 would extinguish any first deed of
trust, so long as certain statutory notice requirements are followed. *See id.* at 411-17.

Under Nevada law, a party asserting a declaratory relief claim must satisfy the
following conditions: (1) a justiciable controversy exists between two parties with adverse
interests, (3) the party seeking declaratory relief must have an interest in the
controversy, and (4) the issue in the case must be ripe for judicial determination. *County of Clark, ex. Rel., Univ. Med. Ctr. v. Upchurch,* 961 P.2d 754, 757 (Nev. 1998). U.S.
Bank's Complaint satisfies these conditions.

16 First, U.S. Bank claims that Servicer tendered 9 months of assessment, which 17 HOA refused presumably because the amount did not satisfy its lien. This dispute 18 creates a justiciable controversy between the parties in that U.S. Bank claims, which 19 HOA disputes, that U.S. Bank satisfied its obligation to protect against HOA's foreclosure 20 but HOA improperly proceeded with foreclosure. The dispute as to the amount of 21 assessment to be paid to satisfy HOA's lien to avoid extinguishment of the First DOT — 22 the 9 months of unpaid assessment (superpriority portion of the lien) as U.S. Bank 23 claims or the amount of assessments that HOA asserted were due — shows the parties' 24 interests are adverse. Finally, HOA's foreclosure does not render the issue moot. U.S. 25 Bank's claim is premised on the foreclosure being improper because HOA refused to 26 identify the amount of the superpriority portion of the lien, failed to comply with other

27

28

²Section 116.3116 was amended and reorganized in 2015. *See* 2015 Nev. Stat. 1331, 1334. The statute retains the exceptions described above, but creates a separate subsection (NRS § 116.3116(3)). NRS § 116.3116(3).

notice requirements and ignored Servicer's tender of the 9 months of unpaid
 assessments. Accepting these allegations as true, U.S. Bank has demonstrated that the
 issue is ripe for judicial determination.

The Court also agrees with U.S. Bank that the issue presented in its quiet title action claim is whether the HOA foreclosure sale extinguished U.S. Bank's First DOT. Again, accepting U.S. Bank's allegations as true, HOA improperly foreclosed to extinguish U.S. Bank's First DOT without complying with Nevada's notice requirements and allowing U.S. Bank to tender 9 months of assessments.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court notes that the parties made several arguments and cited to several
cases not discussed above. The Court has reviewed these arguments and cases and
determines that they do not warrant discussion as they do not affect the outcome of the
Motion.

14 It is therefore ordered that Defendant Emerald Ridge Landscape Association's
15 motion to dismiss (dkt. no. 19) is denied.

DATED THIS 31st day of March 2016.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE