Petitioner's concern that he is being "represented" by the institution and/or librarian is unfounded. The NNCC librarian is listed in the represented by or counsel section of the docket sheet solely because that placement on the docket sheet is necessary to enable the electronic docketing system to send notices of electronic filing to the NNCC law library. The NNCC librarian is not representing petitioner. Nor are the respondents in this action or his custodians representing him.

If petitioner wishes to receive his legal mail, he must sign for it. That holds true both for physical mailings sent from the Court to other prison law libraries and for notices of electronic filing sent from the Court to the NNCC law librarian. Either way, the inmate must sign for his mail. If he refuses to sign for his mail and his time for taking action expire, he has no basis for relief in that regard.

The foregoing is not a procedure as to which petitioner can opt either in or out. Court mailings to prisoners at NNCC are sent electronically to the prison law librarian. Prisoners must sign for their mail if they wish to see it. If they refuse to sign for it, all applicable delays continue to run notwithstanding their refusal to sign for the mail.

Petitioner presents further queries and argument about other cases. This order addresses only this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for case information (ECF No. 20) is **DENIED**.

DATED: November 9, 2016

Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court