1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC., f/k/a MOTOROLA, INC., a Delaware
8	corporation, ORDER
9	Plaintiff, v.
10	HAROLD PICK, an individual, MERCY
11	M. ABRAHAM, an individual, DOE INDIVIDUALS 1 through 10; and ROE
12	ENTITIES 11 through 20, inclusive, Defendants.
13 14	
15	Before the Court is plaintiff's application for a status check as to the disposition of
16	its Motion to Remand to State Court by Plaintiff Motorola Solutions, Inc., (dkt. no. 13)
17	pursuant to Local Rule 7-6(b). (See dkt. no. 25.) The Motion to Remand was filed on
18	March 3, 2015, and was ripe and fully submitted to the Court on April 13, 2015. The
19	Court disposes of pending civil motions in chronological order, except for those motions
20	that require disposition on an expedited basis. The Court is working on motions
21	submitted after February 28, 2015, and will be moving through them chronologically.
22	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand does not fall within the category that requires expedited
23	disposition and will therefore be decided in the order of pending motions in civil cases.
24	Oral argument will be scheduled if the Court determines argument is necessary.
25	DATED THIS 1 <sup>st</sup> day of October 2015.
26	1 (la)
27	MIBANDA M. DU
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE