

1 the stipulated discovery plan is due no later than June 13, 2015.¹ Docket No. 15.

2 Since the dates for the mandatory Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) conference and the submission of the joint
3 discovery plan and proposed scheduling order have not yet passed, Plaintiff's motion for leave to conduct
4 jurisdictional discovery (Docket No. 20) is premature. The Court therefore DENIES Plaintiff's motion
5 without prejudice. The Court expects the parties to comply with the current deadlines for the Fed.R.Civ.P.
6 26(f) conference and the submission of the stipulated discovery plan and proposed scheduling order.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: May 19, 2015.

9
10
11 
12 _____
13 NANCY J. KOPPE
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 _____
24 1
25 The Court is cognizant that Defendant Trench's motion to dismiss remains pending. *See* Docket No.
26 15. "The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not provide for automatic or blanket stays of discovery when
27 a potentially dispositive motion is made." *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev.
28 2013) (quoting *Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 602-03 (D. Nev. 2011)). If a stay is sought
by any or all parties, an appropriate request must be filed addressing the relevant standards. A party may
not seek to impose its own stay of discovery by refusing to engage in the required Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f)
conference.