merits of the potentially dispositive motion and is convinced that the plaintiff will be unable to state a claim for relief. *See Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013).¹

Having reviewed the underlying motion to dismiss, the Court finds that these elements are present in this case and **GRANTS** the motion to stay discovery. If the motion to dismiss is not granted in full, the parties shall file a proposed discovery plan within seven days of the issuance of the order resolving the motion to dismiss.

Defendant Siemens' request for an order shortening time is hereby \boldsymbol{DENIED} as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 24, 2015

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Conducting this preliminary peek puts the undersigned in an awkward position because the assigned district judge who will decide the motion to dismiss may have a different view of its merits. *See Tradebay*, 278 F.R.D. at 603. The undersigned's "preliminary peek" at the merits of that motion is not intended to prejudice its outcome. *See id*.