Here, Plaintiff seeks to subpoena one non-party: Sharon Jackson, whom Plaintiff alleges is the Ombudsman for the Common Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels program. Accordingly, the Court "will grant [Plaintiff's] request [for issuance of a subpoena] and direct the Clerk of Court to issue the subpoena in blank and [send] it to Plaintiff to fill out." *Banks v. Joyce*, 2014 WL 7330938, at *1 (D. Nev. Dec. 19, 2014) (Leen, M.J.). "This practice is not uncommon in this district." *Antonetti v.*

25

26

27

28

Neven, 2014 WL 1089661, at *2 (D. Nev. Mar. 18, 2014) (citing McCurdy v. N. Las Vegas Officer Johnson, No. 08-cv-1767-JAD-PAL (Docket No. 84 at 5-6) (D. Nev. Aug. 23, 2013) (Leen, M.J.) (ordering the Clerk of Court to "issue a subpoena duces tecum and send it to the Plaintiff who shall describe the documents he is requesting and serve it on [opposing] counsel"). Plaintiff is advised that she must comply fully with Rule 45. Banks, 2014 WL 7330938, at *1 (D. Nev. Dec. 19, 2014) (telling pro se Plaintiff to carefully read the same).

IT IS SO ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion (Docket No. 83) is **GRANTED** to the extent that the Clerk of Court shall issue a blank subpoena on form AO 88A, and mail it to Plaintiff.
- 2. To the extent Plaintiff requests any relief other than the issuance of a blank subpoena, that request is **DENIED**.

DATED: December 1, 2015.

United States Magistrate Judge

¹The Court expresses no opinion as to whether the subpoena requested is appropriate and/or timely. Nothing in this shall order be construed as preventing the subpoena recipient from filing any motions she deems appropriate.