Nautilus Ins	surance	Company v. Access Medical, LLC et al	Decument 107	Filed 11/20/22	Desc 1 of 2	Doc. 1	
		Case 2:15-cv-00321-JAD-BNW	Document 197	Filed 11/29/22	Page 1 of 3		
an Breitman LLP							
	1	CASEY J. QUINN					
	2	NEVADA BAR NO. 11248 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP					
	3	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89169					
	4	Telephone: 702-228-7717 Facsimile: 702-228-8824					
	5	Email: cquinn@selmanlaw.com					
	6	LINDA WENDELL HSU (PRO HAC VICE)					
	7	CALIFORNIA BAR NO. 162971 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP					
	8	33 New Montgomery Street, Sixth Floor					
	9	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415-979-2024					
	-	Facsimile: 415-979-2099					
	10	Email: lhsu@selmanlaw.com					
	11	Attorneys for Plaintiff NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY					
	12						
itm ^{AT L.}	13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
lan Breitmai	14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
	15						
ma.	16	NAUTILUS INSURANCE COM	2 ANY,	Case No. 2:15-CV-	00321-JAD-BN W		
Selma	17	Plaintiff,		STIPULATION AND ORDER ON			
0	18	V.	Ν	EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO FILE NEW MOTION REGARDING THE			
	19	ACCESS MEDICAL, LLC, ROBI "SONNY" WOOD, II,	F	REASONABLENESS OF NAUTIL REIMBURSEABLE DEFENSE EXPENDITURES		5	
	20	Defendants.		FIRST REQUEST	1		
	21				^J ECF No. 196		
	22						
	23	Plaintiff, Nautilus Insurance Company ("Plaintiff"), by and through its counsel, Selman					
	24	Breitman, LLP, and Defendants, Access Medical, LLC, and Robert "Sonny" Wood, II (collectively,					
	25	"Defendants"), by and through their counsel, the Schnitzer Law Firm, hereby stipulate to extend					
	26	Plaintiff's deadline from November 24, 2022, to December 23, 2022, to file a new motion as					
	27						
	28						
3892 35805 4	864-5264-6	463 .v1	1				

contemplated in the Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Nautilus's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 194) ("Order").

In the Order, the Court held that it was unable to make a determination whether Nautilus' pre-complaint expenditures on the insureds' behalf were reasonable. As a result, it denied that part of Nautilus' motion for summary judgment requesting a ruling that such expenditures were reasonable, without prejudice. However, the Court allowed Nautilus 14 days from the date of the entry of the Order to file a new motion on the narrow issue of whether the amount of attorney fees expended by Nautilus in the underlying *Switzer* action was reasonable under local practices and reasonable under the factors set forth in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 455 P.2d 31 (Nev. 1969). Given that the Order was entered on November 10, 2022, the deadline for filing such a new motion is November 24, 2022. By this stipulation, the parties agree to continue this deadline to December 23, 2022. This is the first request to extend this deadline.

Good cause exists to extend Nautilus' deadline to file a new motion. First, the attorney fee analysis requested requires Nautilus to analyze the following factors set forth in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 455 P.2d 31 (Nev. 1969):

(1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; [and] (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

See Order at 13. Nautilus has determined that it needs more than 14 days to perform the analysis
required to assess the factors listed above. This request is made in good faith and is not intended to
unreasonably delay this matter.

Based on the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend Nautilus'
deadline to file a new motion on the reasonableness of its attorney fee request from November 24,
2022, to December 23, 2022.

	Case 2:15-cv-00321-JAD-BNW Document 197 Filed 11/29/22 Page 3 of 3						
1 2	Dated this 23rd day of November, 2022.						
3	SELMAN BREITMAN LLP THE SCHNITZER LAW FIRM						
4 5 6	By: <u>/s/ Casey J. Quinn</u> CASEY J. QUINN, NV Bar #2798 LINDA WENDELL HSU, <i>pro hac vice</i> 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89169 By: <u>/s/ Jordan P. Schnitzer</u> JORDAN P. SCHNITZER, NV Bar #10744 9205 W. Russell Road, Suite 240 Las Vegas, NV 89148						
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Nautilus Insurance Co. Attorneys for Defendants Access Medical LLC & Robert "Sonny" Wood, II						
9	<u>ORDER</u>						
10 11	Based on the parties' stipulation [ECF No. 196] and good cause appearing, IT IS						
12	ORDERED THAT the deadline for the filing of Nautilus's new motion regarding the						
13	reasonableness of its attorney fee request is extended from November 24, 2022, to December						
14	23, 2022.						
15	DATED: November 29, 2022, nunc						
16	pro tunc to November 24, 2022. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE JENNIFER A. DORSEY	•					
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24 25							
25							
20							
28	3						