

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

STEVEN FISHER, individually and on behalf of
all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MJ CHRISTENSEN JEWELERS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00358-RFB-NJK

ORDER

Pending before the Court are Plaintiff’s renewed motion to compel, Defendant’s opposition, and Plaintiff’s reply. Docket Nos. 99, 104, 105. The Court hereby **SETS** a hearing for 1:00 p.m. on September 7, 2016, in Courtroom 3D. Plaintiff, Plaintiff’s counsel, Defendant Le Vian’s counsel, and a corporate representative of Defendant Le Vian are required to be present. Plaintiff and Defendant Le Vian must be prepared to discuss: (1) the authority, if any, that supports broad discovery in this case; (2) the impact, if any, of the recent amendment to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 on this motion¹; (3) the authority, if any, that supports limiting discovery to the confines of the class definition; and (4) whether Plaintiff has met his burden of demonstrating that the requested discovery is likely to substantiate the proposed class.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 24, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

¹Specifically, Rule 26(b)(1) now states that “[p]arties may obtain discovery regarding any nonprivileged matter that is relevant to any party’s claim or defense *and proportional to the needs of the case.*”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28