Fisher v. MJ	Christensen Jewelers, LLC	Doc.	98
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IINITED STATES I	S DISTRICT COURT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
10	STEVENSON FISHER,	) Case No. 2:15-cv-00358-RFB-NJK	
11	D1-:4:CC(-)	j l	
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER	
13	vs.  MJ CHRISTENSEN JEWELERS, LLC, et al.,	(Docket No. 96)	
14			
15	Defendant(s).		
16	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's mo	otion to compel discovery from Defendant Le Vian	
17	Corp. Docket No. 96. It appears that the meet-and-confer held by counsel with respect to this motion		
18	was last conducted in January. See Docket No. 97-10. Given the developments in this matter since that		
19	time, including at least two mediations, the Court finds that counsel must conduct a further meet-and-		
20	confer on the dispute. See, e.g., F.D.I.C. v. 26 Flamingo, LLC, 2013 WL 2558219, *2 (D. Nev. June		
21	10, 2013) (finding stale meet-and-confer attempt insufficient in light of changed circumstances).		
22	Accordingly, the motion to compel is <b>DENIED</b> without prejudice to its being refiled, if necessary,		
23	following a further meet-and-confer by counsel.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED: July 11, 2016		
26	NAN	NCY J. KOPPE	
27	United States Magistrate Judge		
28			