

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3  
4 Yanrong Wu,  
5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Desert Palace, Inc., et al.,  
8 Defendants

2:15-cv-00435-JAD-NJK

**Order Staying Case Due to Pending  
Bankruptcy**

9 Plaintiff Yanrong Wu brings this lawsuit against Desert Palace, Inc. alleging that her  
10 employer discriminated and retaliated against her in violation of Title VII, the Americans with  
11 Disabilities Act, and the Rehabilitation Act.<sup>1</sup> A summons was issued for Desert Palace on March  
12 11, 2015,<sup>2</sup> but there is no evidence in the record that Desert Palace was served with process. So  
13 on July 14, 2015, the court sent Wu a notice that this action would be “dismissed without  
14 prejudice” unless she filed proof of service on the defendants by August 13, 2015, or showed  
15 good cause why service was not timely completed.<sup>3</sup> Wu timely responded that she could not  
16 effectuate service because Desert Palace had filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the  
17 Bankruptcy Code on January 15, 2015.<sup>4</sup>

18 Wu has shown good cause why she did not timely serve the summons and  
19 complaint—Desert Palace’s pending bankruptcy case. “In order to protect the estate from being  
20 depleted by creditors’ lawsuits and seizures of property and provide the debtor breathing room to  
21 reorganize, 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) imposes an automatic stay” upon the filing of a bankruptcy  
22 petition.<sup>5</sup> To accomplish these goals, § 362 automatically prohibits:

23  
24 <sup>1</sup> ECF 1.

25 <sup>2</sup> ECF 3.

26 <sup>3</sup> ECF 6.

27 <sup>4</sup> ECF 7.


28 <sup>5</sup> *In re Palmdale Hills Prop., LLC*, 654 F.3d 868, 874–75 (9th Cir. 2011) (citing *White v. City of Santee*, 186 B.R. 700, 704 (Bankr. App. 9th Cir. 1995)).

1 (1) commencing or continuing actions against the debtor that began  
2 or could have begun before the bankruptcy was filed, or to recover  
3 a pre-petition claim against the debtor; and (2) “any act to obtain  
4 possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate  
5 or to exercise control over the property of the estate.”<sup>6</sup>

6 The automatic stay prohibited Wu from serving Desert Palace with a copy of the summons and  
7 complaint within the time allowed under FRCP 4(m). Exercising its discretion, the court now  
8 stays this action under 11 U.S.C. § 362 pending further order from the bankruptcy court.

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is **STAYED** pending further  
10 order from the bankruptcy court. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Wu must file a status report  
11 by **Tuesday, July 19, 2016**, informing the court of the status of Desert Palace’s bankruptcy case.

12 Dated: January 19, 2016

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 Jennifer A. Dorsey  
16 United States District Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 <sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 875 (quoting 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1) & (3)).