IGT v. Aristocrat Technologies Inc.

Doc. 176

Pursul IGT, Defend Counterclain International collectively, as follows:

1. 2. 3.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant IGT, Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. ("ATI"), and Counterclaim Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd ("ATA") and Aristocrat International Pty Ltd. ("AI") (ATI, ATA, and AI collectively, "Aristocrat") (IGT and Aristocrat collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. IGT filed a Complaint in this matter on March 16, 2015. ECF No. 1.
- 2. Aristocrat filed an Answer and Counterclaims on June 8, 2015. ECF No. 38.
- 3. The Parties have both filed several amended pleadings. The currently operative pleadings are IGT's Revised Second Amended Complaint, filed on December 21, 2015, ECF No. 87; Aristocrat's Answer and Counterclaims to Plaintiff IGT's Revised Second Amended Complaint, filed January 7, 2016, ECF No. 92; and IGT's Corrected Answer and Counterclaims to Aristocrat's Counterclaims to Plaintiff IGT's Revised Second Amended Complaint, filed February 18, 2016, ECF No. 104.
- 4. The Parties have reached a settlement of all disputes in this case (the "Settlement Agreement"). As part of the Settlement Agreement, the Parties have agreed to dismiss all claims and counterclaims in this case with prejudice, except that all counterclaims for declaratory judgments of patent invalidity shall be dismissed without prejudice.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Parties respectfully request that the Court approve this stipulation and order as follows: (1) all claims and counterclaims asserted by the Parties in any pleading in this action are dismissed; (2) counterclaims for declaratory judgments of patent invalidity are dismissed without prejudice; all other claims and counterclaims are dismissed with prejudice; (3) no Party shall be deemed a "prevailing party" within the meaning of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54 or Local Rule 54-1; (4) each Party

shall bear its own costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees; and (5) this Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Order of Dismissal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED this 4 day of October, 2016.

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of September, 2016.	
2	For IGT:	For Aristocrat:
3	TOFIGT.	Tor Answerat.
4	MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP	SANTORO WHITMIRE, LTD.
5		
6	/s/ Jeff Silvestri	/s/ Nicholas J. Santoro
7	JEFF SILVESTRI MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP	NICHOLAS J. SANTORO SANTORO WHITMIRE, LTD.
8	2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200	10100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 948-8771
9	Facsimile: (702) 873-9966	Facsimile: (702) 948-8773
10	E-mail: jsilvestri@mcdonaldcarano.com	E-mail: nsantoro@santoronevada.com
11	ADAM K. MORTARA	ROBERT T. HASLAM
12	BRIAN C. SWANSON BARTLIT BECK HERMAN	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700
13	PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP	Redwood Shores, CA 94065
	54 W. Hubbard Street, Suite 300	Telephone: (650) 632-4700
14	Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 494-4400	Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 E-mail: rhaslam@cov.com
15	Facsimile: (312) 494-4440	L man. mastam@cov.com
16	E-mail: adam.mortara@bartlit-beck.com brian.swanson@bartlit-beck.com	GARY M. RUBMAN PETER A. SWANSON
17	orian.swanson@oartm-occk.com	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
	DANIEL C. TAYLOR	One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW
18	BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP	Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 662-6000
19	1899 Wynkoop Street, 8th Floor	Facsimile: (202) 662-6291
20	Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100	E-mail: grubman@cov.com, pswanson@cov.com
21	Facsimile: (303) 592-3140	powanison@eov.com
22	E-mail: daniel.taylor@bartlit-beck.com	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
41	l .	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 29th day of September, 2016, a true and correct copy of this JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF service which will provide copies to all counsel of record registered to receive CM/ECF notifications in this case.

/s/ Daniel C. Taylor
Daniel C. Taylor