1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 ROBERT H. STRONG, Case No. 2:15-cv-0480-APG-VCF 6 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT HANS #6999 and NAPH CARE 7 ٧. 8 HANS #6999, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 On November 20, 2015, Plaintiff was advised by the court (Dkt. #9) that this action 12 would be dismissed without prejudice as to defendants Hans #6999 and NAPH Care 13 unless on or before December 20, 2015, Plaintiff filed proper proof of service or showed 14 good cause why such service was not timely made. Plaintiff has failed to file proof of 15 service nor shown good cause. Nor has Plaintiff shown cause why this action should not 16 be dismissed without prejudice as to those defendants for failure to effect timely service 17 pursuant to FRCP 4(m). Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the above-entitled 18 19 action be, and hereby is, **DISMISSED without prejudice** as to defendants Hans #6999 20 and NAPH Care. Because those are the only remaining defendants in this matter, this case is dismissed. The clerk of the court is directed to close this file. 21 22 Dated: January 5, 2016. 23 ANDREW P. GORDON 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 27 28