

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MONTRAIL D. SMITH,

Petitioner,

2:15-cv-00487-KJD-VCF

vs.

ORDER

RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

Respondents.

_____ /

In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Montrail D. Smith, was due to file a first amended habeas petition on December 28, 2015. *See* Order entered September 30, 2015 (ECF No. 15).

On December 28, Smith filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 16), requesting a 60-day extension of time -- to February 26, 2016 -- to file his first amended petition. This would be the second extension of time for Smith to file his first amended petition; he was previously granted a 90-day extension. Smith's counsel states that this second extension of time is necessary because of the complexity of the case and because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents apparently do not oppose the extension of time.

The court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time. The court will

1 grant the motion for extension of time, and extend to February 26, 2016, the time for Smith to file a
2 first amended petition.

3 In light of the amount of time that Smith will have had to file an amended petition, the court
4 will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

5 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that, petitioner shall have until and including
6 **February 26, 2016**, to file a first amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
8 proceedings set forth in the order entered July 1, 2015 (ECF No. 13) shall remain in effect.

9
10 Dated this 4 day of January, 2016.

11 

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26