

1 Third, the presumptively reasonable discovery period is 180 days calculated from the date the
2 first defendant answers or otherwise appears. See Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). When the parties seek a
3 longer discovery period, they must indicate “SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED” on
4 the face of the proposed discovery plan. Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties failed to do so.²

5 Fourth, when a discovery period is sought that is longer than 180 days calculated from the
6 date the first defendant answers or otherwise appears, the parties must provide a statement of the
7 reason(s) why they seek a longer time period. Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties failed to do so.

8 For the reasons discussed more fully above, the parties’ proposed discovery plan is
9 **DENIED**. The parties shall file, no later than May 22, 2015, a proposed discovery plan that
10 complies with the applicable local rules.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: May 18, 2015

13 
14 NANCY J. KOPPE
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 ² When the discovery plan conforms to the Local Rules, it is required to include a notation on its
27 face in bold that it is being “SUBMITTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH LR 26-1(e).” Local Rule 26-1(d).
The pending discovery plan provides no notation of any kind.