| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
|--|--|
| 2  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |
| 3  | * * *  |
| 4<br>5                                     | NEWMARK GROUP, INC., G&E  ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC, and BGC REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA, LLC,  Case No. 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY  |
| 6  | Plaintiffs,  |
| 7  | v. ORDER   |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11                         | AVISON YOUNG (CANADA) INC.; AVISON YOUNG (USA) INC.; AVISON YOUNG-NEVADA, LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP, JOHN PINJUV, and JOSEPH KUPIEC; DOES 1 through 5; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 6 through 10, |
| 12   | Defendants.  |
| 13   |  |
| 14   | On May 5, 2020, this Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' Motion for Leave   |
| 15   | to File Exhibits Under Seal and Redact Portions of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective   |
| 16   | Order. ECF No. 355. Inter alia, this Court granted Defendants' Motion to the extent it sought to   |
| 17   | maintain the redactions of portions of their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order for   |
| 18   | a period of five business days to allow Defendants to file an unredacted version of their Opposition   |
| 19   | for the Court to review. <i>Id.</i> at 3:7–10. On May 6, 2020, Defendants filed an unredacted copy of  |
| 20   | their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order under seal. ECF No. 358.   |
| 21   | Having reviewed the same,  |
| 22   | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' redacted Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for  |
| 23   | Protective Order (ECF No. 315) shall remain unsealed on the public docket.   |
| 24   | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' unredacted Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion   |
| 25   | for Protective Order (ECF No. 358) shall remain sealed.  |
| <ul><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul> | DATED: May 7, 2020  Caynal. Zouchah  ELAYNAJ. YOUCHAH  UNITED STATES MAGISPRATE JUDGE  |

1