

## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 NEWMARK GROUP, INC., G&E  
5 ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC and BGC  
REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA, LLC

Case No. 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY

6 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER**

7 v.

8 AVISON YOUNG (CANADA) INC.;  
9 AVISON YOUNG (USA) INC.; AVISON  
YOUNG-NEVADA, LLC, MARK ROSE,  
10 THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP,  
JOHN PINJUV, and JOSEPH KUPIEC; DOES  
11 1 through 5; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES  
6 through 10,

12 Defendants.

13  
14 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Exhibits Under Seal and Redact  
15 Portions of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (ECF No. 407).16 As explained in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006),  
17 courts generally recognize a "right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including  
18 judicial records and documents." *Id.* at 1178 citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589,  
19 597 & n. 7 (1978). This right is justified by the interest of citizens who "keep a watchful eye on the  
20 workings of public agencies." *Id.* As Defendants know, a party seeking to file a document under  
21 seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana*. A  
22 party seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must show  
23 compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public access. *Id.* If a sealing order  
24 is permitted, it must be narrowly tailored. *Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Ct. of Cal., Riverside*  
25 *Cty.*, 464 U.S. 501, 512 (1984). When a document is attached to a non-dispositive motion, which is  
26 the case here, the "public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions ... do not  
27 apply with equal force . . ." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179 (citation omitted). "Thus a particularized  
28

1 showing, under the good cause standard of Rule 26(c), will suffice to warrant preserving the secrecy  
2 of sealed discovery material attached to non-dispositive motions.” *Id.* at 1180 (citations, quotation  
3 marks and brackets omitted).

4 Here, Defendants seek to seal exhibits to an opposition to a non-dispositive motion as well  
5 as portions of the opposition itself. Defendants have demonstrated good cause for filing Exhibit B,  
6 page Bates Numbered AYNV-108190 and Exhibit E in its entirety. These documents contain  
7 confidential or proprietary information the public disclosure of which could result in misuse that  
8 would harm Defendants. Defendants have not demonstrated good cause for filing Exhibit B, pages  
9 Bates Numbered AYNV-108187-189, or Exhibit C, pages Bates Numbered AYNV-108191-193.  
10 Defendants also did not attach Exhibit G to its filing under seal rendering it impossible for the Court  
11 to review this document.

12 Accordingly,

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants’ Motion for Leave to File Exhibits Under Seal  
14 and Redact Portions of Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel (ECF No. 407) is GRANTED in  
15 part and DENIED in part.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit B, page Bates Numbered AYNV-108190 and  
17 Exhibit E in its entirety shall remain sealed.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit B, pages Bates Numbered AYNV-108187-189  
19 and Exhibit C, pages Bates Numbered AYNV-108191-193 contain no privileged or confidential  
20 information, and nothing the Court can discern that is potentially privileged or confidential. As such,  
21 these documents shall be unsealed.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit G to Defendants’ Opposition, identified as the  
23 Declaration of Robert Z. Slaughter attaching Exhibits A-E was *not* filed under seal. Therefore,  
24 Defendants must either move to strike this filing and refile this document with a request to file under  
25 seal or take no action in which case the declaration and exhibits thereto shall remain unsealed.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the portions of Defendants’ Opposition to ECF No. 397  
27 referring to Exhibit B, page Bates Numbered AYNV-108190, or any portion of Exhibit E shall  
28 remain redacted and sealed.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall file a revised, unsealed and unredacted Opposition to ECF No. 397 removing redactions referencing Exhibit B, Bates Numbered pages AYNV-108187-189, or Exhibit C, Bates Numbered pages AYNV-108191-193.

Dated this 13th day of October, 2020

  
ELAYNA J. YOUCHAK  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE