1	Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534		
2	Pisanelli Bice PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
	Telephone: (702) 214-2100 Facsimile: (702) 214-2101		
4	tlb@pisanellibice.com		
5	Tina B. Solis, Illinois Bar No. 6242461		
6	Seth A. Horvath, Illinois Bar No. 6283110		
7	Nixon Peabody LLP 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 3500		
8	Chicago, Illinois 60602		
9	Telephone: (312) 977-4400 Facsimile: (844) 560-8137		
10	tbsolis@nixonpeabody.com		
11	sahorvath@nixonpeabody.com (admitted pro hac vice)		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc.,		
	G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC Real		
13	Estate of Nevada LLC		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
16	NEWMARK GROUP INC., G&E ACQUISITION COMPANY LLC, and BGC CASE NO.: 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-GWF		
	regulation contract bee, and bee		
17	REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA LLC,		
)		
18	Plaintiffs, STIPULATION TO EXTEND		
18 19	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO.		
18 19 20	v. Plaintiffs,) STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020,		
18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. YOUNG (USA) INC., AVISON YOUNG— NEVADA LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP, JOHN PINJUV, STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378)		
18 19 20	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. YOUNG (USA) INC., AVISON YOUNG— NEVADA LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA 378)		
18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. YOUNG (USA) INC., AVISON YOUNG— NEVADA LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP, JOHN PINJUV, JOSEPH KUPIEC, DOES 1 through 5, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 6 through 10.		
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) Defendants.		
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. YOUNG (USA) INC., AVISON YOUNG— NEVADA LLC, MARK ROSE, THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP, JOHN PINJUV, JOSEPH KUPIEC, DOES 1 through 5, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 6 through 10.		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) Defendants.		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES IN JUNE 1, 2020, SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF NO. 378) Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc., G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC Real Estate of		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiffs, v. Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc., G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC Real Estate of Nevada, through their counsel, and Defendants Avison Young (Canada) Inc., Avison Young (USA)		

stipulation to extend the deadlines in the scheduling order entered on June 1, 2020 (the "Scheduling Order") (ECF No. 378):

- 1. On June 1, 2020, the Court entered the Parties' Stipulation to Extend Deadlines in April 24, 2020, Order (the "Scheduling Order"). (ECF No. 339.)
- 2. At the time the stipulation was entered, Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order (ECF No. 298) and Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants, the NCG Defendants, and the Third-Party Subpoena Recipients (ECF No. 374 (refiled as ECF No. 395)) were pending before the Court.
- 3. At a telephonic hearing on June 10, 2020, the Court heard Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order. (ECF No. 389.)
- 4. On September 1, 2020, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Compel the AY Defendants and the NCG Defendants to (I) Search Additional Sources of ESI, (II) Produce "AY University" Documents, (III) Produce Documents Improperly Included in the AY Defendants' Clawback Request, (IV) Produce Documents Improperly Withheld as Privileged, and (V) Provide an Adequate Forensic Report (the "Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants and NCG Defendants"), which has now been fully briefed and argued. (ECF Nos. 397, 406, 410.)
- 5. On September 14, 2020, the Court entered an order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order. (ECF No. 402.)
- 6. At a Zoom hearing on October 13, 2020, the Court entered rulings on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants, the NCG Defendants, and the Third-Party Subpoena Recipients. (ECF No. 414.)
- 7. On October 28, 2020, Defendants filed their First Motion to Compel directed to Plaintiffs, which has now been fully briefed and argued. (ECF No. 416.) Collectively, Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Directed to the AY Defendants and NCG Defendants and Defendants' First Motion to Compel are referred to herein as the "Motions to Compel." On or about December 16,

2020, the Court heard oral argument on the Motions to Compel and ordered both parties to produce additional materials. The Parties have agreed to the following extensions of the deadlines set forth in the current Scheduling Order:

- a. All document productions are to be completed within 60 days of the
 Court's entry of an order approving this stipulation.
- b. The Parties shall have 30 days after the document-production deadline to review each other's productions in advance of the remaining depositions in this matter and to coordinate the schedules of their witnesses in preparation for the depositions. The period for completing fact depositions will run for 120 days from the deadline for reviewing document productions.
- c. Initial expert disclosures in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil

 Procedure 26 shall be made within 60 days after the deadline for
 completing fact depositions. Rebuttal expert disclosures are due 30
 days after the deadline for completing initial expert disclosures.
- d. Expert depositions are to be completed within 30 days after the deadline for completing rebuttal expert disclosures.
- e. The deadline for dispositive motions is 45 days after the deadline for completing expert depositions.
- f. The joint pretrial report shall be filed within 60 days after the Court's decision on dispositive motions.
- 8. The Parties do not seek appointment of a Special Master at this time. In the event that a Special Master is later appointed in this case to address any discovery issues, the Parties reserve their rights to have the Special Master review those documents identified by the Court at

the hearing on the Motions to Compel to be submitted (at the moving party's election) to a Special Master.

- 9. This stipulated extension is requested in good faith and made with good cause. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has continued to impose significant disruptions to ordinary business activities of all Parties and counsel. The Parties have engaged in a collaborative and cooperative effort to identify a reasonable time frame to complete discovery. This stipulated extension is not intended to delay or inconvenience the Court, but rather seeks to promote judicial economy and minimize the need for unnecessary court intervention.
- 10. The Parties anticipate that this will be the last extension necessary to complete discovery, but out of an abundance of caution, they reserve their rights to request an additional extension of the deadlines set forth above upon a showing of good cause to the Court.
- 11. The Parties further stipulate that, in lieu of utilizing the ten-deposition limitation reflected in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(1)(A)(i), each side shall have a total of 70 hours to complete fact depositions. Plaintiffs' 70-hour total shall be reduced by the number of hours already spent on the record to conduct the depositions of John Pinjuv, Barton Hyde, Debra Sinclair, and David Dworkin. Each party may allocate its time as it sees fit, but no one deposition shall exceed seven hours on the record.

1			
1	IT IS SO ORDERED; provided, however, that the parties shall file a status report within 10 days of the completion of all discovery providing a date certain for		
2	the filing of dispositive motions, oppositions, and replies.		
3		2 , 20 00	
4		layra Louchat	
5		U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	Submitted: January 8, 2021	Dated: January 11, 2021	
7	Agreed to by:		
8	By: <u>/s/ Tina B. Solis</u>	By: <u>/s/ Nathaniel J. Kritzer</u>	
9	Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534	Robert S. Larsen Wing Y. Wong	
10	Pisanelli Bice PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300	Gordon & Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	300 S. 4th St., Suite 1550 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
11	Telephone: (702) 214-2100	Tel. (702) 577-9301	
12	Facsimile: (702) 214-2101 tlb@pisanellibice.com	Fax. (702) 255-2858 rlarsen@grsm.com	
13		wwong@grsm.com	
14	Tina B. Solis (pro hac vice) Seth A. Horvath (pro hac vice)	Nathaniel J. Kritzer (pro hac vice)	
	Nixon Peabody LLP 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3500	Steptoe & Johnson LLP Firm ID No. 43315	
15	Chicago, Illinois 60602	1114 6th Avenue	
16	tbsolis@nixonpeabody.com sahorvath@nixonpeabody.com	New York, NY 10036 nkritzer@steptoe.com	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Newmark Group Inc.,	Attorneys for Defendants Avison	
18	G&E Acquisition Company LLC, and BGC	Young (Canada) Inc., Avison Young (USA) Inc., Avison Young–Nevada LLC, Mark Rose,	
19	Real Estate of Nevada LLC	Joseph Kupiec, The Nevada Commercial Group LLC, and John Pinjuv	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			