Case 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY $\,$ Document 678 $\,$ Filed 05/31/23 $\,$ Page 1 of 4 $\,$

BGC Partners, Inc. et al v. Avison Young (Canada), Inc. et al

Doc. 678

DECLARATION OF NATHANIEL KRITZER

I, NATHANIEL KRITZER, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed and admitted to practice before the courts of the state of New York. I am admitted pro hac vice in this matter. I am a partner at Steptoe & Johnson, LLP and am representing the Defendants in this matter.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Motion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty Pages. Based on my review of the files and records in this case, I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration and could testify thereto.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint contains five different causes of action alleged against the Defendants: a breach of contract claim alleged against Mr. Kupiec; a tortious interference with contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty claims alleged against Mr. Rose and the Avison Young entities; and trade secret misappropriation and conspiracy claims alleged against all Defendants.
- 4. Instead of each Defendant filing its own motion, which would total 150 pages of briefing, Defendants request permission to file a 65-page motion for summary judgment to address five defendants and five claims in a single motion. Courts in this district have found good cause to allow excess pages in similar situations. *F.T.C. v. Ivy Cap., Inc.*, No. 2:11-CV-283 JCM GWF, 2012 WL 4482796, at *2 (D. Nev. Sept. 27, 2012) (finding good cause for an oversize brief where a party filed one lengthy summary judgment brief instead of multiple briefs, which the court noted "would lead to inefficiencies and disjointed litigation."); *Trina Solar US, Inc. v. Carson-Selman*, No. 220CV1308JCMBNW, 2020 WL 7338552, at *2 (D. Nev. Dec. 14, 2020) (finding good cause to grant motion to exceed page limit where case involved "numerous legal and procedural items" and multiple proceedings spanning multiple jurisdictions).
- 5. Different facts are relevant to each claim, and as a result, briefing this motion requires a significant amount of additional argument. Furthermore, the trade secret misappropriation claim requires Defendants to address a large volume of documents Plaintiffs are alleging are trade

secrets. This contributes to the need for a brief that exceeds the page limit in LR 7-1.

6. As such, Defendants respectfully request that this Court allow them to file a 65-page summary judgment brief, excluding exhibits, on behalf of five defendants. Plaintiffs do not oppose Defendants' request, and Defendants have agreed not to oppose a motion from Plaintiffs

7. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing facts are true and correct.

Executed this 23 Day of March, 2023, in New York, New York.

requesting the same page extension for their response brief.

/s/ Nathaniel Kritzer

Nathaniel Kritzer

Based on the foregoing Declaration, Defendants request the Court grant this unopposed Motion for excess pages for their forthcoming summary judgment motion.

DATED: March 23, 2023 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

By: /s/Robert S. Larsen

Robert S. Larsen Wing Y. Wong

Gordon & Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP 300 S. 4th St., Suite 1550

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel. (702) 577-9301 Fax. (702) 255-2858 rlarsen@grsm.com wwong@grsm.com

Nathaniel J. Kritzer (*pro hac vice*)

Steptoe & Johnson LLP Firm ID No. 43315 1114 6th Avenue New York, NY 10036 nkritzer@steptoe.com

Attorneys for Defendants Avison Young (Canada) Inc., Avison Young (USA) Inc., Avison Young— Nevada LLC, Mark Rose, and Joseph Kupiec

Las Vegas, NV 89101

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

APPROVED: 19

DATED this 31st day of May, 2023.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II **United States District Judge**

25

26

27

28

¹ Defendants John Pinjuv and Nevada Commercial Group intend to file a separate motion for summary judgment that will comply with the thirty-page limit.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 23rd day of March, 2023, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 3 Pro. 5, I served via CM/ECF, a true and correct copy of the foregoing UNOPPOSED MOTION 4 TO FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN EXCESS OF THIRTY PAGES 5 was served upon those persons designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List upon the 6 following: 7 Todd L. Bice, Esq. 8 Jordan T. Smith, Esq. PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South Seventh Street Suite 300 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 11 Tina B. Solis, Esq. 12 Seth A. Horvath, Esq. Las Vegas, NV 89101 NIXON PEABODY LLP 13 70 W. Madison St., Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60602 14 Scott M. Dinner, Esq. 15 NIXON PEABODY LLP 16 799 9th Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20001 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BGC Partners, Inc. 18 G&E Acquisition Company, LLC and 19 Newmark Group, Inc. 20 21 22 Gayle Angulo 23 An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP 24 25 26 27 28

-4-