

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TAMMY A. GILL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00553-JCM-CWH
ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion/Application for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1), filed March 25, 2015.

I. In Forma Pauperis Application

Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing an inability to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* will be granted.

II. Screening the Complaint

Upon granting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a court must additionally screen the complaint pursuant to § 1915(a). Federal courts are given the authority dismiss a case if the action is legally “frivolous or malicious,” fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). When a court dismisses a complaint under § 1915(a), the plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with directions as to curing its deficiencies, unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by amendment. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir.

1 1995).

2 Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for dismissal of a complaint for
3 failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is essentially a
4 ruling on a question of law. *North Star Intern. v. Arizona Corp. Comm'n*, 720 F.2d 578, 580 (9th Cir.
5 1983). In considering whether the plaintiff has stated a claim upon which relief can be granted, all
6 material allegations in the complaint are accepted as true and are to be construed in the light most
7 favorable to the plaintiff. *Russell v. Landrieu*, 621 F.2d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 1980).

8 Plaintiff's complaint challenges a decision by the Social Security Administration ("SSA")
9 denying benefits. Prior to filing suit, a plaintiff must exhaust administrative remedies. *See* 42 U.S.C.
10 § 405(g); *see also Bass v. Social Sec. Admin.*, 872 F.2d 832, 833 (9th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) ("Section
11 405(g) provides that a civil action may be brought only after (1) the claimant has been party to a hearing
12 held by the Secretary, and (2) the Secretary has made a final decision on the claim"). Generally, if the
13 SSA denies a claimant's application for disability benefits, he can request reconsideration of the
14 decision. If the claim is denied at the reconsideration level, a claimant may request a hearing before an
15 Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"). If the ALJ denies the claim, a claimant may request review of the
16 decision by the Appeals Council. If the Appeals Council declines to review the ALJ's decision, a
17 claimant may then request judicial review. *See generally* 20 C.F.R. §§ 404, 416.

18 Once a plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, he can obtain judicial review of a SSA
19 decision denying benefits by filing suit within sixty (60) days after notice of a final decision. *Id.* An
20 action for judicial review of a determination by the SSA must be brought "in the district court of the
21 United States for the judicial district in which the plaintiff resides." *Id.* The complaint should state the
22 nature of Plaintiff's disability, when Plaintiff claims he became disabled, and when and how he
23 exhausted his administrative remedies. The complaint should also contain a plain, short, and concise
24 statement identifying the nature of Plaintiff's disagreement with the determination made by the Social
25 Security Administration and show that Plaintiff is entitled to relief. A district court can affirm, modify,
26 reverse, or remand a decision if Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies and timely filed a
27 civil action. However, judicial review of the Commissioner's decision to deny benefits is limited to
28 determining: (a) whether there is substantial evidence in the record as a whole to support the findings of

1 the Commissioner; and (b) whether the correct legal standards were applied. *Morgan v. Commissioner*
2 *of the Social Security Adm.*, 169 F.3d 595, 599 (9th Cir. 1999).

3 Plaintiff alleges that on January 26, 2015, the Appeals Council denied her request for review,
4 and, at that time, the ALJ's decision became the final decision of the Commissioner. Thus, it appears
5 Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies. Additionally, Plaintiff's complaint includes
6 sufficient facts to state a claim for relief.

7 Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing therefore,

8 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

9 1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall not
10 be required to pay the filing fee of four hundred dollars.

11 2. Plaintiff is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the necessity of
12 prepayment of any additional fees or costs or the giving of a security therefor. This Order granting leave
13 to proceed in forma pauperis shall not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government expense.


14 3. The Clerk of Court shall file the Complaint.

15 4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration
16 by sending a copy of the summons and Complaint by certified mail to: (1) Office of Regional Chief
17 Counsel, Region IX, Social Security Administration, 160 Spear St., Suite 899, San Francisco, California
18 94105-1545; and (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania
19 Avenue, N.W., Room 4400, Washington, D.C. 20530.

20 5. The Clerk of Court shall issue summons to the United States Attorney for the District of
21 Nevada and deliver the summons and Complaint to the U.S. Marshal for service.

22 6. From this point forward, Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendant or, if appearance has been
23 entered by counsel, upon the attorney, a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for
24 consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate
25 stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was personally served or sent by mail to the
26 defendants or counsel for the defendants. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge
27 or magistrate judge which has not been filed with the Clerk, and any paper received by a district judge,
28 magistrate judge or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

DATED: April 6, 2015.



C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28