Tagle v. State of N	levada et al	Doc. 101
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	VICTOR TAGLE,)	
8	Plaintiff,)	
9	V.)	2:15-cv-00623-APG-GWF
10	STATE OF NEVADA et al.,	ORDER
11	Defendants.	
12	<u> </u>	
13	I. DISCUSSION	
14	On May 21, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion to issue summonses. (ECF No. 43). The	
15	Court denies the motion. Plaintiff's Supplemental Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 86) is	
16	in line for screening. If any of Plaintiff's claims survive screening, the Court will serve	
17	Defendants when it is procedurally applicable to do so.	
18	II. CONCLUSION	
19	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion to issue summonses (ECF	
20	No. 43) is denied.	
21		
22	DATED: This 16th day of March, 2016.	
23		
24	Lipito	Jeorge Foley A
25	Offile	d States Magistrate Judge
26		
27		
28		
	41	