LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROBERT W. FREEMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 03062 Email: <u>Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com</u> GREGORY S. BEAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12694 Email: <u>Gregory.Bean@lewisbrisbois.com</u> <b>LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &amp; SMITH LLP</b> 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 <i>Attorneys for Defendant</i>			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	A, SOUTHERN DIVISION		
10				
11	BRISA ELIZABETH CHACON-SOLIS,	CASE NO.: 2:15-cv-00627-RFB-CWH		
12	Plaintiffs,			
13	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO		
14	STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY; ROE INSURANCE	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES		
15	COMPANY, DOES I through X, and ROE	(FIRST REQUEST)		
16	CORPORATIONS I through X,			
17	Defendants.			
18	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, the parties, by and through their respective			
19	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and rec	quest that this Court extend discovery in the		
20	above-captioned case sixty (60) days, up to and including December 3, 2015. In addition,			
21	the parties request that the all other deadlines contemplated by the Discovery Plan and			
22	Scheduling Order be extended for an additional sixty (60) days as outlined herein. In			
23	support of this Stipulation and Request, the parties state as follows:			
24	1. On April 6, 2015, Defendant removed the instant action to Federal Court.			
25	2. On April 13, 2015, Defendant filed its Answer to Complaint.			
26	4. On May 8, 2015, the parties conducted an initial 26(f) conference.			
27	5. On May 13, 2015, the parties prepared and submitted a Stipulated			
28	Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (the "Order") for the Court's approval.			
	4849-2351-6965.1			

1	7.	On May 13, 2015, Defendant propounded written discovery upon Plaintiff.	
2	6.	On May 14, 2015, the Court entered the Stipulated Order.	
3	8.	On May 27, 2015, Plaintiff propounded written discovery upon Defendant.	
4	8.	On June 2, 2015, Plaintiff filed her First Amended Complaint.	
5	9.	On June 5, 2015, Defendant filed its Answer to First Amended Complaint.	
6	10.	On June 15, 2015, Plaintiff served responses to Defendant's discovery	
7		requests.	
8	11.	On June 18, 2015, Defendant served subpoenas on Plaintiff's medical care	
9		providers, both post- and pre-loss.	
10	12.	On June 30, 2015, Plaintiff requested to take the deposition of Defendant's	
11		FRCP 30(b)(6) witness on various topics.	
12	DISCOVERY	<u>(REMAINING</u>	
13	1.	Defendant will respond to written discovery.	
14	2.	Defendant will take the deposition of Plaintiff.	
15	3.	Plaintiff will take the deposition of Defendant's representatives.	
16	4.	The parties will continue to collect Plaintiff's medical and billing records.	
17	5.	The parties will disclose expert witnesses.	
18	5.	The parties will take the depositions of the designated expert witnesses.	
19	6.	The parties will take the depositions of any and all other witnesses garnered	
20		through discovery.	
21	This F	Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or	
22	other purpos	se of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of	
23	allowing suff	icient time to conduct discovery.	
24	WHY REMA	INING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED	
25	The parties are currently in settlement discussions. A short delay in the discovery		
26	deadlines will allow the parties to more fully evaluate the documents and discovery		
27	responses submitted thus far in the case to determine if settlement is possible prior to		
28	expending great cost on expert witnesses.		



Plaintiff noticed the deposition of Defendant's employee(s), which will produce
information that will assist expert witnesses in preparing their reports. Certain of the
parties' expert witnesses have limited availability prior to the current deadline to disclose
experts, so much that it is not possible for them to timely complete and submit reports
pursuant to the Federal Rules. As the pending discovery and collection of records will
assist experts for both parties in making their decisions, a short extension of time is
appropriate to allow for a more complete expert witness disclosure.

The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines.

40				
10	Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline	
11	Discovery Cut-off	October 4, 2015	December 3, 2015	
12	Deadline to Amend Pleadings	July 6, 2015	No change	
13	or Add Parties			
14	Interim Status Report	August 5, 2015	October 2, 2015, or 62 days before the close of	
15			discovery (the 60 <sup>th</sup> day falling on a Sunday)	
16	Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2)	August 5, 2015	October 2, 2015, or 62 days before the close of discovery (the 60 <sup>th</sup> day	
17				
18			falling on a Sunday)	
19	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	September 4, 2015	November 2, 2015, or 31 days after initial expert disclosures (the 30 <sup>th</sup> day falling on a Sunday)	
20	26(a)(2)			
21	Dispositive Motions	November 3, 2015	January 4, 2016, or 32	
22		,	days after the close of	
23			discovery (the 30 <sup>th</sup> day falling on a Saturday)	
24	Joint Pretrial Order	December 3, 2015	February 3, 2016, or 30	
25			days after the decision of last Dispositive Motions	
26				



1	This Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or
2	other purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of
3	allowing sufficient time to conduct discovery in this case and adequately prepare their
4	respective cases for trial.

This is the First request for extension of time in this matter. The parties
respectfully submit that the reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons for the
short extension.

8 WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the discovery
9 period by sixty (60) days from the current deadline of October 4, 2015, up to and including
10 December 3, 2015, and the other discovery dates as outlined in accordance with the table
11 above.

**12** DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015.

ROBERT W. FREEMAN, ESQ.

GREGORY S. BEAN, ESQ.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Attorneys for Defendant

15/ Gregory Bean

Nevada Bar No. 03062

Nevada Bar No. 12694

14

15

16

17

18

19

13 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH

6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015.

G. DALLAS HORTON & ASSOCIATES

/s/ David Thomas

G. DALLAS HORTON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 05996 DAVID L. THOMAS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 03172 4435 South Eastern Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 *Attorneys for Plaintiff* 

20		
21	ORDER	
22	2 IT IS SO ORDERED:	
23	B DATED: July 7, 2015	
24		
25		
26		
27	United States Mabistrate Ju	uge
28	3	