Isaza v. Trotter et al

judgment).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 2:15-cv-00632-JAD-GWF Rigoberto Enrique Isaza, 5 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Plaintiff **Default Judgment** 6 v. 7 [ECF 19] Earl Marshal Trotter and Farmers Insurance, 8 **Defendants** 9 10 Pro se plaintiff Rigoberto Enrique Isaza sues Earl Marshal Trotter and Farmers Insurance for 11 breach of contract and seeks a deficiency judgment and declaratory and injunctive relief.<sup>1</sup> Neither 12 defendant has been served or otherwise appeared. Nor has the Clerk of Court entered default against 13 the defendants. Nonetheless, Isaza moves for default judgment on all claims. 14 Isaza's motion is premature. Unless a defendant has been served under Federal Rule of Civil 15 Procedure 4, the court lacks personal jurisdiction over that defendant.<sup>2</sup> Without personal 16 jurisdiction, the Clerk of Court cannot enter default against a defendant and I cannot enter judgment 17 in a plaintiff's favor.<sup>3</sup> Because Isaza has not satisfied any of these prerequisites, his motion for 18 default judgment is denied. 19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Rigoberto Enrique Isaza's motion for default 20 judgment (ECF 19) is DENIED. 21 Dated this 25 day of February, 2016 22 23 24 United States District 25 <sup>1</sup> ECF 17. 26 27 <sup>2</sup> S.E.C. v. Ross, 504 F.3d 1130, 1140 (9th Cir. 2007) (citation omitted). 28 <sup>3</sup> See J & J Sports Prods., Inc. v. Guzman, No. 2:12-CV-01483-RCJ, 2013 WL 1003600, at \*1 (D. Nev. Mar. 12, 2013) (outlining the two-step process a plaintiff must follow to obtain default

Doc. 21