Dollar v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department et al Doc. 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

GEORGE DOLLAR, Plaintiff, v. LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et al.*, Defendants.	Case No. 2:15-cv-00712-APG-CWH **ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION** (ECF. No. 8)

On August 24, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation that I dismiss this case with prejudice because plaintiff George Dollar has ceased participating in the case and has not complied with the court's orders. Dollar did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)). I nevertheless find that Judge Hoffman sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation **(ECF No. 8) is accepted**. Plaintiff George Dollar's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

DATED this 29th day of September, 2017.

ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com