

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARTICE RANSEY,

Petitioner,

2:15-cv-00919-RCJ-NJK

vs.

ORDER

ATTORNEY GENERAL, *et al.*,


Respondents.

_____ /

In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on October 19, 2015 (ECF No. 11). The petitioner, Martice Ransey, was to respond to the motion to dismiss by December 21, 2015. *See* Order entered September 24, 2015 (ECF No. 9) (60 days for response). Ransey did not file a response to the motion to dismiss by that date. The court will, *sua sponte*, grant Ransey an extension of time, to February 12, 2016, for his response to the motion to dismiss.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the time for petitioner to respond to respondents' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 11) is extended to **February 19, 2016**. If petitioner does not respond to the motion to dismiss by that date, the court will rule on the motion without the benefit of a response. If petitioner files a response to the motion to dismiss, respondents shall than have 30 days to file a reply in support of the motion.

Dated this 5th day of January, 2016.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE