

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

GEORGE TARR,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-CV-964 JCM (CWH)

ORDER

Presently before the court is defendants Bank of America, N.A. and First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust's motion to dismiss complaint. (Doc. # 5). Plaintiff's response was due by June 18, 2015. Plaintiff has not filed a response or sought an extension from the court.

"To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face.'" *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). "Where a complaint pleads facts that are 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability, it 'stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief.'" *Id.* (citing *Bell Atlantic*, 550 U.S. at 557). However, where there are well pled factual allegations, the court should assume their veracity and determine if they give rise to relief. *Id.* at 1950.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2, an opposing party must file points and authorities in response to a motion and failure to file a timely response constitutes the party's consent to the granting of the motion and is proper grounds for dismissal. See LR IB 7-2(d); *United States v. Warren*, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). However, prior to dismissal, the district court is required to weigh several factors: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring

1 disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.” Ghazali v.  
2 Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.  
3 1986)).

4 In light of plaintiff’s failure to respond and weighing the factors identified in Ghazali, the  
5 court finds dismissal of plaintiff’s complaint (doc. # 1-1) appropriate.

6 Accordingly,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants Bank of  
8 America, N.A. and First Franklin Mortgage Loan Trust’s motion to dismiss complaint (doc. # 5)  
9 be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. The case is dismissed without prejudice.

10 DATED June 24, 2015.

11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE