## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

SCOTT ALLAN JONES.

Plaintiff, v.

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01108-MMD-NJK

ORDER ADOPTING AND ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE NANCY J. KOPPE

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe (dkt. no. 7) ("R&R"). No objection to the R&R has been filed.<sup>1</sup>

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The R&R (dkt. no. 7) that was mailed to Plaintiff was returned as undeliverable. (Dkt. no. 8.)

United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Koppe's R&R. The R&R recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice based upon Plaintiff's failure to show cause why the case should be dismissed. After reviewing the filings, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe (dkt. no. 7) is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

It is ordered that the amended complaint (dkt. no. 1-2) is dismissed without prejudice.

It is further ordered that the Clerk close this case.

DATED THIS 23<sup>rd</sup> day of November 2015.

MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE