1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST Case No. 2:15-cv-01139-JCM-PAL COMPANY, 8 ORDER Plaintiff. 9 (Mot Strike – ECF No. 84) v. 10 TALASERA AND VICANTO HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 Before the court is Talasera and Vicanto Homeowners' Association's Reply to and Motion 14 to Strike SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's Limited Response to Talasera and Vicanto Homeowners' 15 Association's Motion to Dismiss the Bank's Complaint (ECF No. 84), and SFR Investments Pool 16 1, LLC's Response (ECF No. 87). The motion was referred to the undersigned on December 13, 17 2016. It argues SFR, a defendant in this case, filed its Limited response (ECF No 77) which must 18 be stricken as it has no standing or authority to file a response to the HOA's motion to dismiss the 19 Bank's complaint. SFR opposes the motion to strike arguing it is asserting its own rights which 20 will be impacted by an order dismissing the Bank's complaint against the HOA unless the court 21 dismisses the entire complaint, including the claims against SFR. 22 Having reviewed and considered the matter, 23 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Motion to Strike (ECF No. 84) is **DENIED**. 24 DATED this 10th day of January, 2017. 25 26 27 JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28