28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 MARK ELLIOTT, Case No. 2:15-cv-01143-APG-VCF 5 Plaintiff, ORDER ON REPORT AND 6 RECOMMENDATION v. 7 OAKRIDGE INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., (ECF No. 44) 8 Defendants. 9 On August 19, 2016, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommended I dismiss the complaint 10 in this action with prejudice due to the plaintiff's failure to comply with court orders and his 11 disruptive litigation conduct. ECF No. 44. Plaintiff Mark Elliott objected. ECF Nos. 46, 52. 12 Although I appreciate the frustration with Elliott's conduct while he was acting pro se, he 13 14 since has obtained counsel to represent him in this action. See ECF Nos. 58, 61, 62, 63. In light 15 of the policy preference for deciding cases on their merits, I decline to dismiss this action with prejudice at this time. However, I advise Elliott and his counsel that future failures to obey court 16 orders or obstructive litigation tactics may result in sanctions up to and including dismissal with 17 18 prejudice. 19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that I decline to adopt the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation (ECF No. 44) and I do not dismiss this action with prejudice at this time. 20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are referred to the Magistrate Judge to 21 22 discuss moving the proceedings along in this case. DATED this 5th day of April, 2017. 23 24 25 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 27