## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

U.S. BANK AS TRUSTEE FOR GSAA

HOME EQUITY TRUST 2006-9, ASSET
BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-9,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DIAMOND CREEK HOMEOWNERS'

ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Defendants.

On May 22, 2018, the Court granted summary judgment to Plaintiff U.S. Bank, ("Plaintiff") because, under *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016), the Diamond Creek Community Association ("HOA") "foreclosed under a facially unconstitutional notice scheme" and therefore the "foreclosure sale cannot have extinguished" Plaintiff's deed of trust on the property. (Order 6:1–3, ECF No. 110). The Ninth Circuit has since held, however, that Nevada's homeowner's association foreclosure scheme is not facially unconstitutional because the decision in *Bourne Valley* was based on a construction of Nevada law that the Nevada Supreme Court has since made clear was incorrect. *See Bank of Am., N.A. v. Arlington W. Twilight Homeowners Ass'n*, 920 F.3d 620, 624 (9th Cir. 2019) (recognizing that Bourne Valley "no longer controls the analysis" in light of *SFR Investments Pool1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon*, 422 P.3d 1248 (Nev. 2018)). Moreover, for orders from this district that relied on *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016), and were thereafter appealed, the Ninth Circuit recently began reversing and remanding such orders in light of *Bank of Am., N.A. v. Arlington W. Twilight Homeowners* 

Ass'n, 920 F.3d 620, 624 (9th Cir. 2019). See, e.g., U.S. Bank, N.A, v. SFR Investments Pool 1,

1

25

Fed. R. App. P. 12.1).

2017) (remanding to district court to permit reconsideration of the judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62.1 and

the filing of a notice of appeal "confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal"); *Mendia v. Garcia*, 874 F.3d 1118, 1121 (9th Cir.