

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FIRST HORIZON HOME LOANS,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
DAY DAWN CROSSING HOMEOWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, et al.,  
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-cv-01262-JAD-NJK  
ORDER

Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan seeking 225 days to conduct discovery. Docket No. 18. The presumptively reasonable discovery period is 180 days. *See, e.g.,* Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The primary reason provided by the parties for exceeding the presumptively reasonable discovery period is that counsel are all litigating many similar lawsuits. *See* Docket No. 18 at 2. That is not good reason to extend the discovery period. *See, e.g., Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Aurora Canyon Homeowners Association*, Case No.2:15-cv-1308-MMD-NJK (D. Nev. Aug. 21, 2015) (Docket No. 26) (citing *Greene v. Alhambra Hosp. Med. Ctr.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. Lexis 72697, \*3 (D. Nev. June 3, 2015)). The parties next assert that “[a]dditional time is also needed to locate and produce all relevant documents and to conduct depositions and other discovery that may be required.” Docket No. 18 at 2. Such a bald statement that discovery needs to be conducted is plainly insufficient to extend the presumptively reasonable discovery period.

As such, the proposed discovery plan is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. The parties shall file, no later than September 25, 2015, an amended discovery plan providing a discovery period of no

1 longer than 180 days calculated from the date the first defendant answered.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 DATED: September 17, 2015

4   
5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 NANCY J. KOPPE  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28