

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FIRST 100, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE
CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01303-APG-PAL

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION AND
DISMISSING THE PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT**

(ECF No. 54)

11 On March 7, 2018, Magistrate Judge Leen issued a report and recommendation in which
12 she recommends that I dismiss plaintiff First 100, LLC's complaint because First 100 has failed
13 to comply with court orders that it obtain counsel and has not kept the court apprised of a current
14 address. First 100 did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review
15 of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de
16 novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which
17 objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc)
18 ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if
19 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Leen's report and recommendation
21 **(ECF No. 54) is accepted.** Plaintiff First 100, LLC's complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.
22 The defendants' counterclaims remain pending subject to the stay previously entered. *See* ECF
23 No. 42.

24 DATED this 2nd day of April, 2018.

25
26
27
28



ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE