

1 ADAM PAUL LAXALT  
Attorney General  
2 JARED M. FROST (Bar No. 11132)  
Senior Deputy Attorney General  
3 State of Nevada  
Office of the Attorney General  
4 555 East Washington Avenue  
Suite 3900  
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 486-3177 (phone)  
6 (702) 486-3773 (fax)  
Email: jfrost@ag.nv.gov

7 *Attorneys for Defendants Dwight Neven,*  
8 *Bruce Stroud, Larry Treadwell,*  
9 *Jennifer Nash, and Jerry Howell*

10  
11  
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 MICHAEL WILLIAMS,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,

18 Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01327-GMN-NJK

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
EXTEND REMAINING  
SCHEDULING DEADLINES**

**(FIRST REQUEST)**

19  
20 Defendants Dwight Neven, Bruce Stroud, Larry Treadwell, Jennifer Nash, and  
21 Jerry Howell, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada Attorney General, and  
22 Jared M. Frost, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby move for a thirty (30) day  
23 extension of the remaining non-discovery scheduling deadlines in this matter. This  
24 motion is made and based on the following points and authorities, the attached exhibits,  
25 the pleadings and papers on file, and any additional evidence the Court deems  
26 appropriate to consider.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **I. BACKGROUND**

2 This is an inmate civil rights case filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1983. ECF  
3 No. 4. The Court issued its screening order on June 16, 2016. ECF No. 8. Pursuant to this  
4 order, Plaintiff was permitted to proceed on his Fourteenth Amendment claims related to  
5 being housed in administrative segregation against Defendants Neven, Nash, Fillman,  
6 Stroud, Treadwell, and Howell. *Id.* at 8.

7 On September 23, 2016, the parties participated in an early mediation conference  
8 at which no resolution was reached. ECF NO. 14.

9 On December 19, 2016, Defendants Neven, Stroud, Treadwell, Nash, and Howell  
10 filed an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint. ECF NO. 19.

11 On December 20, 2016, the Court issued a scheduling order. ECF No. 20. Pursuant  
12 to the scheduling order, discovery must be completed by March 20, 2017, discovery  
13 motions are due April 3, 2017, and dispositive motions are due April 19, 2017. *Id.*

14 This motion for a 30 day extension of the remaining scheduling deadlines follows.

15 **II. ARGUMENT**

16 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), the Court may extend the  
17 time to perform an act within a specified time for good cause shown. Defendants submit  
18 that their request to extend the remaining non-discovery scheduling deadlines by 30 days  
19 is supported by good cause here.

20 An extension of the remaining scheduling deadlines is needed here because  
21 Defendants have not yet had an opportunity to receive and review Plaintiff's  
22 supplemental interrogatory responses. Plaintiff filed his responses to Defendant Nash's  
23 timely interrogatories on March 13, 2017.<sup>1</sup> However, upon review, Defendants  
24 determined that a number of Plaintiff's responses were insufficient and also that Plaintiff  
25 had failed to sign the certification page as required by the applicable Rules.  
26 Consequently, the undersigned arranged to speak with Plaintiff on March 23, 2017, in an

---

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Defendants note that the filing of discovery responses is prohibited by this Court's  
Local Rules.

1 effort to resolve these issues. *See* Exhibit 1 (correspondence dated March 23, 2017).  
2 During this conversation, Plaintiff agreed to provide Defendants with supplemental  
3 responses and a signed copy of the certification page. *Id.* However, Defendants have not  
4 yet received Plaintiff's supplemental responses and require additional time to review the  
5 responses and to determine whether the filing of any discovery motions would be  
6 appropriate in this case. Defendants therefore request a 30 day extension of the  
7 remaining scheduling deadlines and propose the following new dates for the Court's  
8 consideration:

9           May 3, 2017           Last day to file discovery motions

10          June 5, 2017          Last day to file dispositive motions

11          This extension request is filed prior to the expiration of the deadlines at issue. To  
12 the extent that this Court requires a showing of excusable neglect because the request  
13 was not filed at least 21 days prior to the deadline to file discovery motions, Defendants  
14 submit that the request is filed as soon as practicable given the need to confer with  
15 Plaintiff and attempt to resolve any disputed discovery issue without the need for Court  
16 intervention.

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 Defendants' motion to extend the remaining scheduling deadlines should be  
3 granted.

4 DATED this 31st day of March, 2017.

5 ADAM PAUL LAXALT  
6 Attorney General

7 By: /s/ Jared M. Frost  
8 JARED M. FROST (Bar No. 11132)  
9 Senior Deputy Attorney General

10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED April 3, 2017  
14 \_\_\_\_\_.

15  
16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28