Williams v. N	even et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	MICHAEL WILLIAMS,	) Case No. 2:15-cv-01327-GMN-NJK
12	Plaintiff(s),	
13	VS.	) NOTICE
14	DWIGHT W. NEVEN, et al.,	
15	Defendant(s).	) )
16	Upon receiving notice from another chambe	ers of a potential scheduling conflict, the Court
17	rescheduled the settlement conference in this case. Docket No. 38. The Court issues this notice to	
18	separately explain that the manner in which Defendants relayed that information to the undersigned was	
19	not proper. The proper mechanism for bringing a potential scheduling conflict to the Court's attention	
20	is to file a written request to continue the settlement conference to a different date that explains the	
21	circumstances giving rise to the scheduling conflict See, e.g., Docket No. 38 at 1 n.1 ("Any request to	
22	change the date of the settlement conference must be made in writing and must be filed within 7 days	
23	of the issuance of this order"). Contacting another judge's chambers to ask them to expend their	
24	resources to explain to the undersigned's staff that a scheduling conflict exists is not proper.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: July 25, 2017	
27	Names I Vanda	
28	Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge	

Doc. 40