

C

1 Michael J. McCue (Nevada Bar #6055)  
 2 Meng Zhong (Nevada Bar #12145)  
 3 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP  
 4 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
 5 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
 (702) 949-8200 (phone)  
 (702) 949-8398 (facsimile)  
 mmccue@lrrlaw.com  
 mzhong@lrrlaw.com

Michael D. Rounds (Nevada Bar #4734)  
 Adam Yowell (Nevada Bar #11748)  
 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER  
 SCHRECK, LLP  
 5371 Kietzke Lane  
 Reno, NV 89511  
 Telephone: 775.324.4100  
 Facsimile: 775.333.8171  
 mrounds@bhfs.com  
 ayowell@bhfs.com

6 Mark H. Izraelewicz  
 7 Thomas I. Ross  
 8 Kevin M. Flowers  
 9 Amanda Antons  
 10 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
 11 233 South Wacker Drive, 6300 Willis Tower  
 12 Chicago, IL 60606-6357  
 (312) 474-6300 (phone)  
 (312) 474-0448 (facsimile)  
 mizraelewicz@marshallip.com  
 tross@marshallip.com  
 kflowers@marshallip.com  
 aantons@marshallip.com

*Attorneys for Defendant ACTAVIS LLC*

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
 14 *SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.*  
 15 *and UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16 SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
 17 and UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE,  
 18  
 19 Plaintiffs,  
 20  
 21 v.  
 22 ACTAVIS LLC,  
 23  
 24 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:15-cv-01360-JCM-GWF  
**JUDGMENT**

24 WHEREAS, on July 17, 2015, Plaintiffs Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and University  
 25 of Strathclyde (collectively, "Plaintiffs") commenced this action against Actavis LLC  
 26 ("Actavis"), alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,500,829 ("the '829 patent") under 35  
 27 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), (c), and (e)(2)(A) (ECF No. 1);  
 28


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Actavis have jointly moved for final judgment in this action in accordance with the Stipulation and Order to Lift Stay (ECF No. 10) and Stipulation and Order to Enter Final Judgment (ECF No. 11).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

Judgment is entered in favor of Actavis that claims 1 and 2 of the '829 patent are invalid, and claims 3, 4, and 5-14 of the '829 patent are not infringed by ANDA No. 206516 as if fully tried and adjudicated.

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: June 22, 2016